

NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****MCRC No. 7886 of 2019**

- Rupendra Kumar Sahu S/o Shri Aashkaran Sahu Aged About 25 Years By Caste Teli, R/o Village Dongajhar, Police Station Tendukona, And Tahsil Baghbahra, Civil And Revenue District Tahsil And District Mahasamund Chhattisgarh.

**---- Applicant****Versus**

- State Of Chhattisgarh, Through : Police Station Gidhori, Civil And Revenue District And District Balodabazar Chhattisgarh.

**---- Respondent**


---

|                      |   |                                |
|----------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| For Applicant        | : | Mr. Jameel Akhtar Lohani, Adv. |
| For Respondent/State | : | Mr. Sameer Sharma, Dy. G.A.    |

---

**Hon'ble Smt. Justice Rajani Dubey****Order on Board****09/06/2020**

1. The accused/applicant has moved this first bail application under Section 439 of Criminal Procedure Code for releasing him on regular bail during trial in connection with Crime No. 208/2019 registered at Police Station Gidhori, District-Balodabazar (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 489(A), 489(B), 489(C) and 489(D) of IPC.
2. The prosecution story, in brief is that, based on written complaint, police made investigation and during investigation at the night of 12.09.2019 at about 23:15 hours stopped motorcycle of the applicant at village-Nardha-Bazar, police searched and seized 12 Indian fake notes of 100 denomination and during interrogation collected about 49 Indian fake notes of 100 denomination total value of Rs. 6,100/- from the possession of the present applicant. Present applicant has been taken into custody on 12.09.2019.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the crime in

question. He further submits that the only 61 fake notes have been collected from the applicant and the applicant is in jail since 12.09.2019, there is no likelihood of his case being decided in near future, therefore, the present appellant may be released on bail.

4. On the other hand, counsel for the State opposes the bail application submitting that the applicant did a very grievous offence, and colour printer of Epson Company, Fevi stick, brightening tap, blank page, etc. have been seized from the house of the applicant which shows that the applicant is involved in this grievous offence so, it is not a fit case to release him on bail.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, especially nature and gravity of crime in question, at this stage, I am not inclined to release him on bail.
7. Accordingly, his application filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure is rejected.

**Sd/-  
(Rajani Dubey)  
Judge**