

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 9394 of 2019

S. N. Ansari S/o Late Shri Naushe Ali Aged About 74 Years R/o Village and
Post Bhati, S.O. Shivpur (Hata Bazar) District Gourakhpur Uttar Pradesh.,
--- **Petitioner**

Versus

1. Union of India through the Secretary, Ministry of Consumer Affairs, Food And Public Contribution New Delhi., District : New Delhi, Delhi
2. Food Corporation of India through Chairman And Managing / Director, Food Corporation of India 16-20 Barakhamda, Lane New Delhi., District : New Delhi, Delhi
3. The Executive Director Food Corporation of India, Zonal Office (West) FCI New Building Datapada Road, Boriwali (W) Mumbai (Mh) Pin 400066., District : Mumbai, Maharashtra
4. The Assistant General Manager CPF Pension, Food Corporation of India E Shed Severe, Mumbai (Mh) Pin 400033, District : Mumbai, Maharashtra
5. The District Manager (Administration) Food Corporation of India, District Office in Front of New Krishi Upaj Mandi, Durg Dhamdha Road, Durg Chhattisgarh.
6. The Commissioner Regional Provident Fund, Bhavishya Nidhi Bhawan Pandari Raipur District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
--- **Respondents**

For the Petitioner	: Mr. Lavkush Kumar Sahu, Advocate
For respondents 2 to 4	: Mr. B.P. Gupta, Advocate
For respondent no.6	: Mr. Sunil Pillai, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri

Order on Board

02-01-2020

1. The grievance of the petitioner is that he has contributed certain amount to the Employees Provident Fund while he was in service of respondents 2 to 4 for the period from 1995 till the date of his retirement in the year 2005. According to the petitioner, the amount so contributed was approximately Rs. 49,573/- subject to verification.

2. It is submitted that in earlier round of litigation, the order dated 16.02.2018 passed in WPS No. 6178 of 2016 records the fact that as agreed the petitioner would make deposit with the department from 01.03.1971 to 01.11.1995 so that the pensionary benefits are given to him. Learned counsel submits that as on today the petitioner is unable to make the said contribution, which comes around more than Rs.3 lakhs and prays that the amount i.e., approximately Rs.49,573/- which was deducted from the year 1995 till his retirement may be returned.
3. Learned counsel for respondent no.6 would submit that the amount if so contributed will be verified and thereafter the same would be paid as per the scheme.
4. In view of the submissions made by the parties, It is directed that respondent no.6 shall return the amount contributed by the petitioner and deducted from 1995 till the retirement of the petitioner in accordance with the Scheme to the respondent/Corporation within a period of 60 days from today. The petitioner may also submit the necessary bank particulars whereby the amount deposited so as to return the same to him at the earliest.
5. With such observation, this writ petition is disposed off.

Sd/-
GOUTAM BHADURI
JUDGE