

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPC No. 4050 of 2019**

- M/s Shivam Coal Carriers Private Limited Through Its Director Ravindra Bura, Having Its Registered Office At 206, Palco House, 2162/t-10, Guru Arjun Nagar, Main Road, West Patel Nagar, New Delhi 110008

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. South Eastern Coalfields Limited Through The Chairman Cum Managing Director, SECL Bhavan, Seepat Road, Bilaspur 495555, Chhattisgarh
2. The General Manager (HOD, CMC) South Eastern Coalfields Limited, SECL, Bhavan, Seepat Road, Bilaspur 495555, Chhattisgarh
3. R. K. Nigam Director Technical (O), Secl, Bhavan, Seepat Road, Bilaspur 495555, Chhattisgarh
4. The General Manager (Operation) South Eastern Coalfields Limited, (Jamuna Kotma Area) Post Office Jamuna Colliery, District Anuppur 484444 M. P.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Khishij Sharma, Advocate
For Respondents	:	Mr. Vaibhav Shukla, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri P.R. Ramachandra Menon, Chief Justice
Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

Order on Board**Per, P.R. Ramachandra Menon, Chief Justice****09/01/2020**

1. The Petitioner has moved this Court with the following prayers :

“10.a. That, the Hon'ble Court may be pleased to issue a writ of an appropriate nature quashing the impugned 3 e-tender notices Annexure P1 (Colly) dated 28.09.2019; 28.09.2019 and 01.10.2019 respectively; and

b. That, the Hon'ble Court may be pleased to issue a writ/direction/order of appropriate nature directing the Respondents to issue fresh e-tender notices or

reschedule the dates of the impugned e-tender notices permitting the Petitioner for participation in such newly floated or rescheduled dates of the impugned e-tender notices; and

c. That, the Hon'ble Court be pleased to issue a writ/direction/order of appropriate nature directing an inquiry against the Respondent No.3 for the acts of deliberate and malafide omission and commission, thereby flouting the directions of the Courts; and

d. That, the cost of this petition may kindly be allowed in favor of the Petitioner; and

e. That, any other relief(s) or direction(s) which the Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the circumstances of the above case may kindly be passed.”

2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, the learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that by virtue of the reply filed from the part of the Respondents, the grievance of the Petitioner, more or less, stands redressed and in the said circumstance, the Petitioner does not intend to press the petition.
3. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as not pressed.

Sd/-
(P.R. Ramachandra Menon)
Chief Justice

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge