

NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**

**WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 4044 OF 2019**

Smt. Basanti Devi Wd/o Late Shri Ramlal Rajak Aged About 76 Years R/o Ward No.- 37, Shankar Nagar, Gurunanak Chowk Torawa, Police Station Torawa, Tahsil and District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.

**...Petitioner(s)**

**Versus**

- 1.** State of Chhattisgarh Through the Collector, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.
- 2.** The Tahsildar Bilaspur, District - Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.

**... Respondent(s)**

---

|                |   |                                                        |
|----------------|---|--------------------------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner | : | Shri Rishi Rahul Soni, Advocate.                       |
| For State      | : | Shri Vivek Ranjan Tiwari, Additional Advocate General. |

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**

**Order on Board**

**17.03.2020.**

- 1.** The limited relief sought for by the petitioner in the present writ petition is for an appropriate direction to the respondent No.2 to decide the Revenue Case No.169/A-6/2009-10 at the earliest.
- 2.** According to the petitioner, she has made an application under Sections 109 and 110 of Chhattisgarh Land Revenue Code before the respondent No.2 on 01.10.2009 and it is more than a decade her application is still pending consideration. According to the petitioner, she has a decree from the competent Civil Court (Annexure P/1) in her favour so far as her right and title over the said property is concerned and therefore prays that the respondent be directed to decide her application at the earliest.
- 3.** The limited relief sought for by the petitioner is not opposed by the State counsel.
- 4.** Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of directing the respondent No.2 to take all necessary steps under the provisions of law in deciding the

application of the petitioner positively within a period of four months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

5. Considering the fact that the matter is pending consideration before the respondent No.2 for more than 10 years, this court wants the respondent No.2 to seriously conduct proceedings and close the matter within the stipulated period.
6. The writ petition accordingly stands disposed of.

Sd/-  
(P. Sam Koshy)  
**Judge**

inder