

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPC No. 3107 of 2018**

Shree Sai Jan Shiksha Kalyan Smiti Care Off Bhau Singh Chouhan,
Gram Gutuku, Village- Takhatpur, Bilaspur, Through- Its Secretary, M.P.
Sharma S/o Late Shri B.D. Sharma, Aged About 71 Years, R/o- Care Off
Bhau Singh Shouhan, Gram Gutuku, Village- Takhatpur, Bilaspur,
Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through- The Secretary, Department Of Woman And Child Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Secretary Chhattisgarh State Social Welfare Board, Old Police Head Quarter Campus, Near Rajbhawan, G.E. Road, Raipur, Chhattisgarh. 492001
3. Union Of India Through It's Under Secretary Ministry Of Woman And Child Development, (Govt Of India) Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi 110001
4. The Executive Director Central Social Welfare Board, B-12, Qutub Institution Area, New Delhi 110016
5. Secretary Chhattisgarh State Social Welfare Board, Old Police Head Quarter Campus, Near Rajbhawan, G.E. Road, Raipur, Chhattisgarh 492001.
6. Additional Director Woman And Child Development Sanchalnalaya, Blocke-A, Second Floor, Indravati Bhawan, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Sourabh Sharma, Adv.
For State	:	Mr. Siddharth Dubey, Dy. G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****28/08/2020**

1. The grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is the non releasing of the 40% of the amount for which the petitioner was entitled from the State Government for his institution running a creches "Jhulla Ghar" since 2007. The respondents were asked to submit their reply and in their reply, the State government has specifically taken two grounds, the first ground is that the petitioner has not been able to submit the expenditure vouchers with which it could be verified before releasing the said amount and secondly, according to the State, there

was an enquiry conducted and it was revealed that the institution was not functional and that was the reason why they could not provide the expenditure vouchers.

2. That the counsel for the petitioner submits that, he has repeatedly submitted the expenditure vouchers to the State authorities which the State authorities however dispute of having received. Be that as it may, this Court is of the opinion that the matter can be disposed of at this juncture permitting the petitioner to once again submit the expenditure vouchers that he has to submit before the respondents, who in turn shall verify and scrutinise the same and after due verification, take appropriate steps in accordance with the scheme governing the field.
3. In case if the petitioner is found eligible and entitled for the same, the amount be released at the earliest. If on the contrary, it is found that the petitioner is not entitled for the release of the said amount for whatsoever reason, the petitioner be duly intimated with reasons for the same so that the petitioner comes to know as to why he has not been paid the said amount.
4. Let the petitioner submits the expenditure vouchers within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order and the respondents authorities shall further take a decision on the documents and the vouchers which the petitioner would submit, within a further period of 45 days from the date the petitioner submits the vouchers along with the copy of the order.
5. The writ petition accordingly stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge