

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPIL No.110 of 2020

Awadh Naresh Singh S/o Late Bhagwat Singh, Aged About 53 Years
R/o Village Pathartal, Post Office Tikari, Tahsil And Police Station
Masturi, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of General Administration, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Mantralaya Raipur, Police And Revenue District Raipur Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Collector, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh., District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh
3. Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue), Masturi, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh., District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh
4. Tahsildar, Tahsil Masturi, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh--- **Respondents**

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Ravi Maheshwari, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. S.C. Verma, Advocate General with Mr. Chandresh Shrivastava, Dy. G.A.

DB : Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Hon'ble Smt. Justice Vimla Singh Kapoor

Order on Board by Manindra Mohan Shrivastava, J.

05/11/2020

Heard.

1. Through this Public Interest Litigation petition, grievance ventilated by the petitioner is that large chunk of Government land has been encroached. The prayer made in the petition is to direct the State Authority to take necessary action for dispossession of encroacher from the Government land.
2. After going through the petition, we are afraid, the petition suffers from vagueness. The pleadings are utterly vague, unspecific, giving no details. In the writ petition, bald statement has been made that the Government lands

have been encroached and along with petition some of the Revenue entries showing certain lands recorded as Government land has been filed. Which part of which particular land has been encroached and who has encroached, is completely missing in the petition.

3. Only in the name of the public interest litigation, we cannot enter into a roving enquiry to find out wherever encroachment is made. Therefore, the present PIL is liable to be dismissed only on the ground of being vague, unspecific and lacking in material details and is accordingly dismissed.

4. Dismissal of PIL would not mean that this Court has given any verdict in respect of any of the Government land. It shall always be open for the competent Revenue Authority to take action against encroachment as and when it is brought to the notice.

5. With the said limited observation, PIL is dismissed.

Sd/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge

Sd/-
(Vimla Singh Kapoor)
Judge