

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 4372 of 2020**

- Smt. Shakun Sahu W/o Shri Shiv Kumar Sahu Aged About 32 Years Rojgar Sahayak, Village Panchayat Mudpar (Khi.) R/o Village- Mudpar (Khisora), Tahsil- Nawagarh, Civil And Revenue District- Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through- The Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Commissioner Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Council (MGNREGA), Indrawati Bhawan, Atal Nagar, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. The Collector Cum District Program Co-Ordinator, MGNREGA, Janjgir Civil And Revenue District- Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh
4. The Chief Executive Officer Zila Panchayat Janjgir, Civil And Revenue District- Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh
5. The Chief Executive Officer Zanpad Panchayat Nawagarh, Civil And Revenue District- Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh
6. The Program Officer Zanpad Panchayat Nawagarh, Civil And Revenue District- Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh
7. Shri Sudhakar Sahu Co-Ordinator (Technical), MGNREGA, Janjgir, Zila Panchayat Janjgir, Civil And Revenue District- Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh
8. Shri Om Prakash Dewangan Co-Ordinator (Complaint Resolve), MGNREGA, Janjgir, Zila Panchayat Janjgir, Civil And Revenue District- Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh
9. Miss Neetu Shukla Technical Assistant, Zanpad Panchayat Nawagarh, Civil And Revenue District- Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh
10. The Sarpanch Village Panchayat, Mudpar (Khi.) Zanpad Panchayat Nawagarh, Civil And Revenue District- Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner : Shri Paras Mani Shriwas, Advocate

For Respondents/State : Ms. Akanksha Jain, Dy. GA

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri**Order****27/10/2020**

1. Heard.
2. The present petition is filed against the termination order dated 01.10.2020 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.5 Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Nawagarh, District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.).
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that before passing of the order, the petitioner has not been given the opportunity of hearing, therefore, the order of termination may be set aside.
4. As per Rule 3 of the Chhattisgarh Panchayat (Appeal & Revision) Rules, 1995, against the order of the Janpad Panchayat, the appeal would lie before the Collector. Therefore, considering the fact that the statutory alternative remedy is available, I am not inclined to invoke the jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.
5. Accordingly, the petition stands dismissed.

Sd/-

Goutam Bhaduri
Judge

Ashu