

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 4238 of 2020

- Smt. Santoshi Ratre W/o Ashish Kumar Ratre, Aged About 37 Years Working As Lecturer (L.B.) At Government Higher Secondary School, Kachna, Block Kurud, District Dhamtari Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary, Department Of School Education, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Commissioner, Cum Director, Directorate Of Panchayat, Atal Nagar New Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat Dhamtari, District Dhamtari Chhattisgarh.
5. District Education Officer, Dhamtari, District Dhamtari Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Govind Dewangan, Advocate
For State	:	Ms. Sunita Jain, G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri

Order On Board

28.10.2020

Heard

1. The limited grievance which the petitioner has raised in this writ petition is that by virtue of order passed in WPS No.2530 of 2017 (*Mukesh Kumar Patel and another Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and another*) decided on 26.11.2017 the petitioner has been granted the benefit of revised pay scale on completion of 8 years of service.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance which now remains is that the benefit is being provided to the petitioner prospectively and though the respondents have made a calculation which the petitioner is

entitled from the date of his completion of 8 years but no effective orders have been passed nor have department taken any steps for release of the arrears of payment. The petitioner prays for appropriate direction to the respondents for releasing the said amount. According to the petitioner he had completed his 8 years of service on 19.11.2013 and accordingly she is entitled for the benefit of revised pay scale immediately on completion of 8 years of service. However, the benefit has been extended to the petitioner only from the year 2018. Thus the present writ petition has been filed seeking for direction to respondents to consider the claim of the arrears of benefit between 2013 to 2018.

3. Without entering into the merits of the case and taking into consideration the judgment of this Court in the case of *Mukesh Patel (supra)* which has also been affirmed by the Division Bench and taking note of the fact that the respondents have complied with the order to the extent of granting revised pay scale to the petitioner, let respondents No. 2 to 4 take an appropriate decision at the earliest so far as release of the arrears of payment which the petitioner is entitled for. Expecting a decision from the respondents within a period of 90 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order the present writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-
Goutam Bhaduri
Judge