

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 4068 of 2020**

1. Sanjay Kumar Barman S/o Shri Arjun Lal Barman Aged About 31 Years Occupation Guest Teacher (T.G.T. Maths) Government Eklavya Adarsh Avasiya Vidhyalaya, Dongariya District Gourela Pendra Marwahi Chhattisgarh, R/o Village Pipertarai, Tehsil Kota, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh
 2. Pramod Kumar Vasudeo S/o Shri Salikram Vasudeo Aged About 27 Years Occuaption Guest Teacher (T.G.T. Hindi) Government Eklavya Adarsh Avasiya Vidhyalaya , Dongariya District Gourela Pendra Marwahi Chhattisgarh, R/o Village Post Nawagaon, District Gourela Pendra Marwahi Chhattisgarh
 3. Karishma Tigga D/o Herman Tigga Aged About 28 Years Occuaption Guest Teacher (T.G.T. Hindi) Government Eklavya Adarsh Avasiya Vidhyalaya , Dongariya District Gourela Pendra Marwahi Chhattisgarh, R/o Church Colony , Vyohar Bag, Ghampur, Jabalpur , District Jabalpur Madhya Pradesh
 4. Bheshmati D/o Rup Say Aged About 24 Years Occuaption Guest Teacher (T.G.T. Social Science) Government Eklavya Adarsh Avasiya Vidhyalaya , Dongariya District Gourela Pendra Marwahi Chhattisgarh, R/o Village Dhanauli Tehsil Pendra Road, District Gourela Pendra Marwahi Chhattisgarh
- Petitioners**

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Principal Secretary, Tribal Welfare Development Department , Mantrlaya Indiravati Bhawan , New Raipur , District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Commissioner Chhattisgarh State Level Tribal Welfare , Awasiya, Evam Ashram Shaikshnik , Sansthan Samiti, Indravati Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Assistant Commissioner Tribal Welfare And Development Department , Gourela Pendra Marwahi Chhattisgarh
4. The Collector Office Of The Collector , District Gourela Pendra Marwahi Chhattisgarh.
5. Principal Government Eklavya Adarsh Avasiya Vidhyalaya Dongariya District Gourela Pendra Marwahi Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Mr. Rupesh Shrivastava, Advocate
For Respondents/State	:	Mrs. Sunita Jain, G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri

Order

13/10/2020

Heard.

1. The present petition is against the order dated 21.09.2020 (Annexure P/1), wherein the fresh advertisement has been issued for appointment of Guest Teacher under the Respondent No. 5. and the advertisement is made by Respondent No. 2.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are working as Guest Teacher in the school since 2019 and in the earlier occasion also the dispute arose when the advertisement was made and it was observed by the coordinate bench of this Court in WPS No. 6633 of 2018 on 05.10.2018, that the petitioners shall not be removed by another set of Guest Teachers. It is further contended that the petitioners were lastly appointed as Guest Teachers for the session 2019-20 and again when the session is coming to end fresh advertisement has been issued for appointment of Guest Teachers.
3. The contention of the petitioners is that the petitioners have undergone a due process of selection for being appointed as a Guest Teacher and that the services of the petitioners also were satisfactory as there is no complaint whatsoever, so far as the competency of the petitioners is concerned. It is further the contention of the petitioners that now that

the academic session is over, the respondents should not be permitted to go in for a fresh recruitment process for filling up of the posts of Guest Teachers under the respondent No.5 for the subject in which the petitioners were taking classes.

4. Counsel for the petitioners relies upon the judgment of this Court passed in the case of **“Manju Gupta & others v. State of Chhattisgarh & others” WPS No. 4406/2016 , decided on 27.02.2017**, whereby the similarly placed Guest Lecturers under the Director (Industrial Training Institute) have been granted protection from being replaced by another set of Guest Lecturers.
5. Having heard the contentions put forth on either side and on perusal of record, what is admitted is that the petitioners were appointed vide Annexure P/2. The order of appointment specifically had a clause mentioning that the appointment so made are till an alternative arrangement is made by way of regular recruitment/contractual/transfer.
6. Further from the records, it also does not appear that the performance of the petitioners, at any point of time, was found to be unsatisfactory. In the case of **“Manju Gupta”** (supra), this Court in paragraphs No. 8 to 11 has held as under:-

“8. True it is, that the Petitioners' status is that of a Guest Lecturer but that does not mean that they do not have any right. There is always a legitimate expectation of the Petitioners that since the filling up of the posts has not been initiated by way of a regular appointment or by contractual appointments, the Petitioners would be permitted to continue.

9. The undisputed fact is that the Petitioners were given appointment only on undertaking given by them pursuant to an advertisement by the Respondents. In the undertaking which was made to be furnished by the

Petitioners, they were made to undertake that their appointment would be till the posts are filled up by regular/contractual appointment. This by itself clearly gives an indication that unless the Respondents fill up the sanctioned vacant posts by either regular recruitment or by way of contractual appointment, the Petitioners would continue as Guest Lecturers. On the practical aspect also the fact that the Petitioners are discharging the duties of Guest Lecturers for last more than 1-2 years, itself is a good ground for permitting the Petitioners to continue on the said posts as Guest Lecturers, simply for the reason of their experience on the said post, as fresh recruitment would mean that persons with no or less experience would be participating in the recruitment process, which also would not be in the interest of the students who are undertaking training in the respective institutions.

10. Taking into consideration the decision of the Supreme Court in the case of Piara Singh (supra) and which has been further reiterated in the case of Dr. Chanchal Goyal (supra), this Court has no hesitation in reaching to the conclusion that the advertisement (Annexure P-1) so issued by the Respondents is definitely not in the interest of the students undertaking training at Industrial Training Institute, Ambikapur, and the same would amount to violation of Article 21 of the Constitution of India and the same therefore deserves to be and is accordingly quashed. The advertisement would be deemed to be quashed only to the extent of the recruitment against the posts at which the Petitioners are discharging. That is to say, the Respondents would be entitled to fill up the posts which are lying vacant by way of Guest Lecturers where there are no Guest Lecturers available.
11. It is directed that the Respondents would not be entitled for filling up the posts of Guest Lecturer by replacing the Petitioners unless the Respondents come up with a stand that the services of the Petitioners were dis-satisfactory. The quashment of the advertisement issued by the Respondents would also not come in the way of the Respondents for filling up of the sanctioned vacant posts by regular recruitment or by way of contractual appointment for which the Respondents shall be free."

7. This Court, under the given circumstances, is inclined to accept the same analogy in the case of the petitioners also and accordingly it is ordered that unless there is any complaint received against the

performance of the petitioners, the respondents are restrained from going in for any fresh recruitment of a Guest Teacher for the said subject under the respondent No.5- against which the petitioners were engaged.

8. It is however made clear that the protection to the petitioners would be only to the extent of not being replaced by another set of Guest Teachers. This would not preclude the State Government from going in for filling up of the post by way of a regular appointment or by way of engaging contractual teachers under the rules for contractual employment.
9. With the aforesaid observations, the present writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-

(Goutam Bhaduri)

Judge