

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 3834 of 2020**

- Dinesh Kumar Sao S/o Shri Deep Ram Sao, Aged About 35 Years R/o Ekta Nagar Utai 7 / 57, Tahsil - Bemetara, District - Durg Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through - Secretary, Panchayat And Gramin Vikas Vibhag, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Capital Complex, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Collector Bemetara District - Bemetara Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Nawagarh, District Bemetara Chhattisgarh
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat Bemetara, District - Bemetara Chhattisgarh
5. District Program Officer (M G N R E G A) - Bemetara, Zilla Panchayat Bemetara, District Bemetara Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner : Mr. A.K. Yadav, Advocate

For State : Ms. Akansha Jain, Dy. G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri**Order****15.10.2020**

Heard.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was initially appointed as a Technician in the MNREGA in the year 2013 thereafter his services were continued which would be evident from Annexure P/2 dated 07.06.2017 that the petitioner had worked from 11.03.2013 to 28.02.2017, however by an oral order he was asked to not to join his duty subsequent

to year 2017. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner thereafter though had made several applications to know his status as under which scheme he was appointed and the relevant documents, however the same has not been provided to him. Therefore, under these circumstances he is constrained to file this writ petition with a request that he may be given a liberty to file a representation so that the status of the petitioner is made known.

2. Considering the submission made it is stated that no order of termination exists therefore the concern of the petitioner cannot be sidelined that under which scheme the petitioner was appointed and if his services are not being availed then he has a right to know the same. Under these circumstances, the petitioner is given a liberty to file a fresh representation ventilating his grievance before the respondents No. 3 & 4 within a period of three weeks from today and the same shall be decided within a further period of 60 days from the date of receipt of such representation. The petitioner, thereafter shall be at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy after his status is made known to him.
3. With the aforesaid direction/ observation, the writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-

Goutam Bhaduri
Judge