

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPC No. 2176 of 2020

1. Naari Swa Sahayata Samooh (Self Help Group) Godkhamhi, Through Its President Parvin Malik, W/o Ajj Mali, Aged About 48 Years, R/o Ward No. 12, Godkhamhi, District : Mungeli, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Woman And Child Development Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Commissioner, Woman And Child Development Department, Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. The Collector, Mungeli, District : Mungeli, Chhattisgarh
4. The District Programme Officer, Woman And Child Development, Mungeli, District : Mungeli, Chhattisgarh
5. The Project Officer, Integrated Child Development Scheme, Lormi, District : Mungeli, Chhattisgarh

----- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Dharmesh Shrivastava, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Sudeep Verma, Dy. Govt. Adv.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

28.09.2020

1. The grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition seems to be the decision on the part of the respondents in proceeding with the advertisement issued on 23.06.2020 (Annexure P-1) for allotment of the work of distribution and supply of Ready to Eat supplementary nutritious food to the Anganwadi centres.
2. At the outset, this Court is of the view that the petitioner may not have

any right conferred on them for the reason that the petitioner was awarded with a contract in October, 2015 and the period of the contract was for five years ending in October, 2020 and the petitioners were permitted to discharge their duties for the whole of five years tenure. It was foreseeing that the contract is coming to an end for which the respondents have issued an advertisement on 23.06.2020.

3. On a query being put to the counsel for the petitioner, he has accepted the fact that the petitioner also has the liberty to participate in the said advertisement. At this juncture, the counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had approached the Collector for continuing with the existing advertisement for some time taking into consideration of the effect of the Covid-19 pandemic. It appears that the Collector has also vide Annexure P-4 dated 17.08.2020 has passed some orders.
4. Given the fact that the Collector has already passed some orders, this Court at this juncture would be reluctant to entertain the writ petition for this reason.
5. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands rejected. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to approach the District Collector, District Mungeli for appropriate relief in terms of the order of the Collector dated 17.08.2020. The present writ petition accordingly stands disposed off.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge