

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 2173 of 2020

Naman Panigrahi S/o. Late Kundleshwar Panigrahi, Aged About 16 Years
Minor, Through Its Next Friend/guardian Namely Dilip Panigrahi, S/o.
Bimbadhar Panigrahi, Aged About 56 Years, R/o. Village Aasna, Nayapara,
Tehsil Jagdalpur, District Bastar Chhattisgarh., District : Bastar(Jagdalpur),
Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

- 1.** State Of Chhattisgarh Through- Secretary, Panchayat And Gramin Vikas
Vibhag, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Capital Complex, Atal Nagar,
Nawa Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
- 2.** Commissioneer, Bastar Division Jagdalpur, District Bastar Chhattisgarh,
District : Bastar(Jagdalpur), Chhattisgarh
- 3.** Collector, Jagdalpur, District Bastar Chhattisgarh, District :
Bastar(Jagdalpur), Chhattisgarh
- 4.** Tehsildar, Tehsil Bastar, District Bastar Chhattisgarh, District :
Bastar(Jagdalpur), Chhattisgarh
- 5.** District Education Officer, Jagdalpur ,district Bastar Chhattisgarh, District :
Bastar(Jagdalpur), Chhattisgarh
- 6.** Smt. Minakshi Panigrahi, W/o. Late Kundleshwar Panigrahi, Aged About
46 Years R/o. Village Aasna, Nayapara, Tehsil Jagdalpur, District Bastar
Chhattisgarh, District : Bastar(Jagdalpur), Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Manoj Paranjpe, Advocate.
----------------	---	-------------------------------

For State/Resp.	:	Mr. V. R. Tiwari, Addl. AG
-----------------	---	----------------------------

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy
Order on Board

28.09.2020

1. The claim of the petitioner in the present writ petition is for quashment of Annexure P-1 inheritance/ succession certificate issued by the Collector District Bastar Division, Jagdalpur dated 03.06.2020.
2. Perusal of the pleadings primarily reveals that the dispute in the present writ petition is between the petitioner and respondent No.6 who are mother and son. The dispute arises on the service related benefits that the legal heirs are entitled for, on the death of the father of the petitioner and husband of the respondent No.6 who died in harness working as a Teacher (LB) on 20.01.2020.
3. Considering the nature of dispute, this Court is of the opinion that petitioner for redressal of his grievance would have to approach the competent Civil Court for getting the succession certificate so far as payment receivable on the death of the deceased employee. Further so far as the non maintaining of the petitioner by the respondent No.6 is concerned are all facts which has to be taken care of by the employer who has to take appropriate steps in ensuring that the other dependents of the deceased would also be taken care of by the persons who has been granted compassionate employment.
4. Reserving the right of the petitioner to avail other appropriate remedies open to him, the writ petition accordingly stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge