

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPC No. 2104 of 2020

1. Nirja Sondhi, Wd/o Late Shri Avinash Chandra Sondhi, Aged About 64 Years, R/o Civil Line, Church Road, Tahsil And District : Durg, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Revenue And Disaster Management, Atal Nagar, Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Collector Collectorate, District : Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh
3. The Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue) Cum Land Acquisition Officer, Sub Division Office Rajnandgaon Tahsil And District : Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh
4. The Tahsildar, Tahsil Office Rajnandgaon Tahsil And District : Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh
5. The Superintendent Of Police, Police Training School, Office Of Police Training School, G.E. Road Rajnandgaon Tahsil And District : Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh
6. The Director General Of Police, Police Head Quarter, Near Revenue Board Circuit Court Near Raipur Tahsil Raipur And District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

-----Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. N. K. Malviya, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Siddharth Dubey, Dy. Govt. Adv.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

05.10.2020

1. The grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition seems to be the alleged illegal encroachment upon the private property belonging to the petitioner situated in Patwari Halka No. 38 in Village:

Kaurinbatha Tahsil and District: Rajnandgaon bearing Khasra Nos. 13, 14, 15/1, 16/1 and 37, 11/43 corresponding areas measuring 0.1620, 0.1660, 0.0660, 0.0530, and 0.05 – ½ of (0021 khasra no.) hectare. The dispute is regarding Khasra No. 37 measuring 0.0530 hectares by the respondent State Government in the course of construction of the Police Training School at the aforesaid site.

2. According to the counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner has got the property demarcated, so also in the demarcation report it is reflected that the property is one which belongs to the petitioner.
3. Given the said facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is of the opinion that let the petitioner approach the Respondent No. 2 and 3 who shall in turn scrutinize the representation of the petitioner. On due consideration if it is found that the petitioner's property has been taken over in the course of construction of a Police Training School at the aforesaid location then the Respondent No. 2 and 3 shall take appropriate steps in-accordance-with law ensuring redressal of the petitioner's grievance.
4. Let petitioner approach the respondent no. 2 and 3 by way of a representation to be made within a period of three weeks and the respondent no. 2 and 3 shall in turn take a decision on the said representation within a further period of four months from the date of receipt of copy of this Order.
5. With the aforesaid direction, the writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge