

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 3571 of 2020**

- Satish Singh Rajput S/o Shri Ganesh Singh Rajput Aged About 39 Years Working As Field Officer, And Posted At Zila Antyavasai, Balod, District Balod, Chhattisgarh

---- **Petitioner****Versus**

1. Managing Director Chhattisgarh Rajya Antyavasai Vitta And Vikas Nigam, Fourth Floor, Commercial Bhawan, Housing Board Bhawan, Sector 27, Atal Nagar, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Babu Bhai Shrivastava Executive Officer, District Committee Chhattisgarh Rajya Antyavasai Vitta And Vikas Nigam Kondagaon, District Kondagaon, Chhattisgarh
3. Laxmi Prasad Kashyap Executive Officer, District Committee Chhattisgarh Rajya Antyavasai Vitta And Vikas Nigam Mungeli, District Mungeli, Chhattisgarh.

---- **Respondents**

For Petitioner : Mr. Ajay Shrivastava, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri

Order

4-9-2020

1. Heard.
2. The limited prayer made by the petitioner in the present petition is that the petitioner was initially appointed on the post of Field Officer and his seniority in the gradation list appears to

be at serial No. 11 and respondents no. 2 & 3 (namely, Babu Bhai Shrivastava & Laxmi Prasad Kashyap) are juniors to the petitioner and their names appear to be at serial No. 18 & 19.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during the promotion, respondents No. 2 & 3 were promoted to the post of Executive Officer ignoring the seniority of the petitioner. It is contended that the petitioner had filed a representation on 30.03.2019 (Annexure P/4) but the same has not been decided till date. It is further contended that neither any adverse remark exists against the petitioner nor any departmental proceeding is pending against him so there is no reason as to why he has been superseded. In this circumstance, the only prayer made by the petitioner is that his representation (Annexure P/4) may be considered and the respondent No. 1 may be given a direction to decide the same.
4. Considering the limited prayer made by the petitioner that only his representation may be considered and decided, it is directed that the petitioner may file a fresh representation to the respondent No. 1 within a period of three weeks, thereafter, the respondent No. 1 shall decide the same within a period of four months from the date of receipt of such representation. However, it is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of this case and the respondent No. 1 shall decide the matter, on its own merits,

strictly in accordance with law.

5. With the aforesaid directions/ observations, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(Goutam Bhaduri)
Judge

Raju