

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****WPS No. 3493 of 2020**

- Rajendra Singh Yadav S/o Late Shri N.R. Yadav, Aged About 61 Years R/o. Ward No. 10, Shankar Nagar, Mahamaya Road, Kumhari, Police Station Kumhari, Tahsil And District Durg Chhattisgarh

**---- Petitioner****Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Animal Husbandry, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Managing Director, Office Of Chhattisgarh State Cooperative Milk Federation, Village Urla, Post B.M.Y. Charoda, District Durg Chhattisgarh
3. Deputy General Manager (Personnel And Administration), Office Of Chhattisgarh State Cooperative Milk Federation, Village Urla, Post B.M.Y. Charoda, District Durg Chhattisgarh
4. Deputy Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh

**---- Respondents**

---

|                |   |                                   |
|----------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| For Petitioner | : | Mr. Keshav Prasad Gupta, Advocate |
| For State      | : | Ms. Akanksha Jain, Dy. G.A.       |

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri****Order****02.09.2020**

Heard.

1. This is the second round of litigation. Earlier the petitioner had filed a writ petition bearing WPS No. 3133 of 2019 wherein this Court on 30.04.2019 has observed that the petitioner's grievance shall be decided by the Deputy Registrar having jurisdiction under Section 55(2) of the Co-operatives Society Act.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a senior citizen and he pursuant to the order dated 30.04.2019 has preferred an

appeal before the Deputy Registrar (Respondent No. 4) on 16.05.2019 (Annexure P-1). It is contended that the issue pertains to the retiral dues as the petitioner stand retired on 31.03.2019 (Annexure P-4). The limited prayer of the petitioner is that the appeal of the petitioner which is pending before Respondent No. 4 may be adjudicated within a reasonable period of time.

3. Considering the fact that the petitioner since has retired and the issue pertains to retiral dues, it is directed that the Respondent No. 4 shall decide the pending appeal (Annexure P-1) of the petitioner within a reasonable period of time preferably within an outer limit of 6 months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.
4. It is made clear that this order may not be treated as precedent and it is passed looking to the present circumstances.
5. With the aforesaid observation, the petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

**(Goutam Bhaduri)**  
**Judge**