

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**MCRC No. 5795 of 2020**

1. Vikas Yadav S/o Gopal Yadav, Aged about 26 years,
R/o Pahadi Chowk, Police Station Gudhiyari,
District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Manish Singh S/o Laxmi Singh, Aged about 32
years, R/o Ward No. 17, Murrabhaththi, Police
Station Gudhiyari, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. Veeru Nishad S/o Ramswaroop, Aged about 27 years,
R/o Lodhipara, Police Station Gudhiyari, District
Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

---Applicants**Versus**

State of Chhattisgarh, Through Police Station
Chhura, District Gariyaband, Chhattisgarh.

--- Non-applicant/State

For Applicants :- Mr. Rekhraj Baghel, Advocate
For State :- Mr. Ravi Bhagat, Dy. G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**Order on Board****28/09/2020**

1. Proceedings of this matter have been taken up for
hearing through video conferencing.
2. This is the first bail application filed under
Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure,
1973 for grant of regular bail to the applicants

who have been arrested in connection with Crime No. 93/2020, registered at Police Station Chhura, Revenue Distt. Gariyaband and Civil Distt. Raipur (CG) for the offence punishable under Section 20B of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985.

3. Case of the prosecution, in brief, is that 4.110 kgs of ganja was seized from the possession of the present applicants and they thereby, committed the aforesaid offence.

4. Learned counsel for the applicants would submit that the applicants have not committed any offence and they have falsely been implicated in the crime in question. He would further submit that the applicants are in jail since 08/07/2020.

5. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State would oppose the bail application.

6. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the case diary.

7. Taking into consideration the facts & circumstances of the case, nature & gravity of the offence, role of the present applicants and pretrial detention and looking to the fact that the quantity of ganja is more than small quantity

but less than commercial quantity and they are in custody since 08/07/2020, this Court is of the opinion that present is a fit case, in which, the applicants should be enlarged on regular bail.

8. Accordingly, the bail application filed under Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. is allowed.

9. It is directed that the applicants shall be released on bail on their furnishing a personal bond in the sum of **Rs. 25,000/-** each with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court, for their appearance as and when directed.

10. It is made clear that if the applicants have already been released on bail pursuant to the bail bonds already furnished in view of the order passed by the High Power Committee constituted in compliance of the order of the Supreme Court of India dated 23/03/2020 in the matter of **In Re : Contagion of COVID 19 Virus in Prisons** (Suo Moto Writ Petition (c) No. 1/2020), they need not furnish bail bonds afresh and the bail bonds already furnished shall be deemed to be the bail bonds furnished in compliance of the order of this Court, but if they have not furnished the

bail bonds earlier, then they will be required to
furnish bail bonds.

11. Certified copy, as per rules.

Sd/-
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

Harneet