

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPC No. 1794 of 2020**

K. A. S. Foundation Shivshakti Mahila Swa Sahayata Samuh, Kekarabhata Through Its President, Smt. Ganeshi Sidar, Aged About 48 Years, W/o Ramjee Barai, R/o Village Jondhra, Tahsil Masturi, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Women And Child Development, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. District Collector, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh
3. District Program Officer, Department Of Woman And Child Development, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh.
4. Project Officer, Unified Child Development Project, Jaijaipur, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh.
5. District Level Committee, For The Selection Evaluation Of Merits Of Self Help Groups For Supply Of Ready To Eat Meal Under Supplementary Nutritious Food Program, Through District Program Officer, Women And Child Development, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh
6. Ekta Mahila Sewa Sahayata Samooh Village Thuthi, Block And Tehsil Jaijaipur, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Ms. Diksha Gouraha, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Siddharth Dubey, Dy. G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****01/09/2020**

1. The challenge in the present writ petition is to the impugned order Annexure P/1 dated 27.06.2020 issued by the respondent No.3, whereby the respondent No.3 has after considering the claims of all the applicants, who had participated in the tender for allotment of work to supply "Ready to Eat" have selected the private respondent

No.6 as the eligible candidate ignoring the candidature of the petitioner, who was supplying "Mid Day Meal" since 2014 onwards.

2. Perusal of the documents itself clearly reflects that the order dated 27.06.2020 was an appealable order before the District Collector, however it does not reflect whether the petitioner has preferred an appeal to the Collector or not and the present writ petition has been filed after more than two months from the date the impugned order Annexure P/1 was passed.
3. Given the said fact, the writ petition at this juncture is disposed of directing the petitioner to prefer an appeal before the respondent No.2 along with an application for condonation of delay and an application for grant of interim relief, if any. Subject to the petitioner preferring the appeal along with the aforesaid applications and the copy of this order, the Appellate Authority inturn is directed to decide the same in accordance with law on due consideration of the grounds raised by the petitioner in his appeal and in the applications that he would be filing. Needless to state that the appellate authority shall also hear the affected persons in the course of deciding the appeal.
4. With the aforesaid observations, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Ved