

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 3129 of 2020**

Ranjita Tripathi (Verma) W/o Mr. Aditya Tripathi Aged About 40 Years R/o
A1, Parshva Vill, Near Shahpura Thana Bawadia Kalan, Bhopal M.P.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. Punjab National Bank Through Its Zonal Manager, Zonal Office,
PNB House 1, Arera Hills, Jail Road, Bhopal M. P. 462011
2. Punjab National Bank Through Its Circle Head, Circle Office,
Bilaspur, Plot No. 48, Ring Road, No. 02, Gaurav Path, Bilaspur,
Chhattisgarh 495001
3. Punjab National Bank Appellate Authority, Through Its Head Office,
Plot No. 4, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi 110075

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Siddharth Gupta, Advocate along with Mr. Chiranjiv Kaushil, Advocate
For Respondents	:	Mr. Rajbahadur Singh, Advocate on behalf of Mr. Pushpendra Singh Baghel, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****25/11/2020**

1. The challenge in the present writ petition was to the order of
termination dated 10.06.2019 (Annexure P/1). It was also the
contention of the petitioner that subsequent to the order of
termination having being passed the petitioner had preferred an
appeal before the respondent No.3 i.e. the Appellate Authority

under the regulations governing the service conditions of the petitioner. However, according to the petitioner, till the time the writ petition was filed, the appeal was not decided.

2. Today when the matter is taken up for hearing, the learned counsel appearing for the respondents under instructions of Mr. Baghel, Advocate submits that it has been informed that the departmental appeal preferred by the petitioner has already been decided on 25.10.2019. The counsel for the petitioner at this juncture submits that he has not been served with any of the order in respect of the decision taken by the Appellate Authority, nor has he been ever communicated of the same.
3. Given the said submission by the counsel on either side, this Court is of the opinion that in the light of the statement made by the counsel for the respondents the present writ petition at this juncture can be disposed of with a direction to the respondents in ensuring that the order passed on 25.10.2019 is served upon the petitioner within a period of 7 days. The respondents are directed to send the copy of the Appellate Authority's order at the address given in the cause title of the present writ petition, so far as the address of the petitioner is concerned, instead of the address that is reflected in the service records. In addition the service of the order can also be effected by Whatsapp, E-mail or whatever mode, which the petitioner may avail in addition to the same being sent to the petitioner by post.

4. The petitioner would also be at liberty to take appropriate recourse available to him challenging the subsequent development which includes filing of a fresh writ petition.
5. With the aforesaid observations, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Ved