NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 3227 of 2020

- Smt. Seema Soni W/o Rajkumar Soni, Aged About 43 Years Working As Lecturer (L.B.) At Government Higher Secondary School Kosmarra, Block Kurud, District Dhamtari Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of School Education, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.

2. Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh,

3. Commissioner Cum Director, Directorate Of Panchayat, Atal Nagar, New Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, Dhamtari, District Dhamtari Chhattisgarh.

5. District Education Officer, Dhamtari District Dhamtari Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner : Mr. Govind Dewangan, Advocate
For State : Ms. Akansha Jain, Dy. G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri

Order

19-08-2020

1. The limited grievance which the petitioner has raised in this writ petition is that by virtue of order passed in WPS No.2530

of 2017 (Mukesh Kumar Patel and another Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and another) decided on 26.11.2017 the petitioner has been been granted the benefit of revised pay scale on completion of 8 years of service.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance which now remains is that the benefit is being provided to the petitioners prospectively and though the respondents have made a calculation which the petitioners were entitled from the date of their completion of 8 years but no effective orders have been passed nor has department taken any steps for release of the arrears of payment. The petitioner prays for appropriate direction to the respondents for releasing the said amount. According to the petitioner, she has completed her 8 years of service on 9-9-02016 and accordingly she is entitled for the benefit revised pay scale immediately on completion of 8 years of service. However, the benefit has been extended to the petitioner from the year 2018. Thus, the present writ petition has been filed seeking for direction to respondents to consider the claim of the arrears of benefit between 2016 to 2018.

3. Without entering into the merits of the case and taking into consideration the judgment of this Court in the case of Mukesh Patel (supra) which has also been affirmed by the Division Bench and taking note of the fact that the respondents have complied with the order to the extent of granting revised pay scale to the petitioner, let respondents No.2 to 4 take an

appropriate decision at the earliest so far as release of the arrears of payment which the petitioner is entitled for. Expecting a decision from the respondents within a period of 90 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order the present writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(Goutam Bhaduri)
Judge

Raju