

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

MCRC No. 4916 of 2020

- Murli Singh S/o Praduman Singh Aged About 38 Years Resident Of Sarnadih Mungliyadad, Thana Balrampur, District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh.

----- Applicant

Versus

- State of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station Excise Sub Inspector Circle Balrampur, District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh.

----- Respondent

For Appellant :- Mr. Vikash Pandey, Advocate.
For State/Respondent:- Mr. Ayaz Naved, G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order on Board

14/08/2020

1. Proceedings of this matter have been taken up through video conferencing.
2. This is an application filed under Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the applicant, who has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 9/2020, registered at Police Station-Excise Sub Inspector

Circle Balrampur, District Balrampur
Ramanujganj (CG), for the offences
punishable under Sections 34(1)(A) and 34(2)
of the Chhattisgarh Excise Act.

3. Case of the prosecution, in brief, is that,
10.000 bulk liters of illicit liquor was
seized by the police from the present
applicant.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits
that the applicant has not committed any
offence and he has falsely been implicated
in crime in question. He is in custody since
07/05/2020.

5. On the other hand, learned counsel for the
State opposes the bail application.

6. I have heard learned counsel appearing for
the parties.

7. Taking into consideration the condition
incorporated in Section 59-A(ii) of the C.G.
Excise Act, 1915, and bearing in mind the
principles of law laid down in **Banti Singh**
v. State of Chhattisgarh¹, if the facts of

1 2015(2) C.G.L.J. 341

present case are examined, it is apparent that there is no criminal antecedent of the present applicant and only 10.000 bulk liters of illicit liquor has been seized from him which is more than prescribed limit of 5 bulk liters, but looking to the fact that it is the first offence of the applicant and he is in custody since 07/05/2020 and case is triable by the Judicial Magistrate First Class and trial is likely to take some more time and further taking into account the nature and gravity of offence and plea raised by the applicant that he has falsely been implicated in case, I am of the opinion that present is the fit case, in which, the applicant should be enlarged on regular bail.

8. Accordingly, the bail application filed under Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. is allowed. It is directed that on furnishing a personal bond in the sum of **Rs.25,000/-** with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned Court for his appearance as and when directed, the applicant shall be

released on bail, subject to following conditions:

(i) That, the applicant shall furnish a specific undertaking that while on bail, he will not commit any excise offence, otherwise bail granted to him shall be liable to be cancelled and shall co-operate the prosecution during trial.

(ii) That, the accused/applicant shall make himself available for interrogation before the concerned Investigating Officer as and when required and the accused/applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.

(iii) That, the accused/applicant shall not act, in any manner, which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial.

9. It is made clear that if the applicant has already been released on bail pursuant to the bail bonds already furnished in view of

the order passed by the High Power Committee constituted in compliance of the order of the Supreme Court of India dated 23/03/2020 in the matter of **In Re : Contagion of COVID 19 Virus in Prisons** (Suo Moto Writ Petition (c) No. 1/2020), he need not furnish bail bonds afresh and the bail bonds already furnished shall be deemed to be the bail bonds furnished in compliance of the order of this Court, but if he has not furnished the bail bonds earlier, then he will be required to furnish bail bonds.

10. Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

Ankit