

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**

**WPS No. 2870 of 2020**

1. Smt. Ram Bai Khande W/o Dilip Khande Aged About 47 Years Working As Assistant Teacher (L.B.) At Govt. Primary School Kutrabor, Block Pamgarh, District Janjgir- Champa, Chhattisgarh
2. Mahabir Tandan S/o Budh Ram Tandan Aged About 45 Years Working As Assistant Teacher (L.B.) At Govt. Primary School Kutrabor, Block Pamgarh, District Janjgir-Champa

**---- Petitioners**

**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Secretary Department Of School Education, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Commissioner Cum Director Directorate Of Panchayat, Atal Nagar New Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. Director Directorate Of Public Instruction, Indravati Bhawan, Naya Raipur District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
5. Chief Executive Officer Janpad Panchayat Pamgarh, District Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh
6. District Education Officer District- Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh
7. Block Education Officer Pamgarh, District Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh

**---- Respondents**

|                 |   |                               |
|-----------------|---|-------------------------------|
| For Petitioners | : | Mr. Govind Dewangan, Advocate |
| For State       | : | Ms. Akanksha Jain, Dy. G.A.   |

**Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri**

**Order On Board**

**21.07.2020**

Heard.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner are entitled for Kramonnati as per circulars dated 19.04.1999, 28.04.2008, 03.10.2008 and 11.01.2010 after completion of 10 years of service. He submits that the issue involved in the present petition has already been considered and decided by this Court in the matter of **R.S. Verma Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and Others (W.P.(S) No.2805/2002** by judgment and order dated 29.08.2006. He further submits that the petitioner may be

granted liberty to make a representation to consider the case of the petitioner in the light of the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the matter of **State of Tripura and Others Vs. K.K. Roy (2004 SCW/1)** and the order passed in **R.S. Verma (supra)** to which learned counsel for the respondent/State has no objection.

2. Accordingly, the liberty sought for by the petitioner are granted to make a representation before the concerned authority. It is expected from the competent authority subject to verification decide the representation of the petitioner as expeditiously as possible in an objective manner preferably within a period of four months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.
3. In view of above, the petition is disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

Sd/-  
Goutam Bhaduri  
Judge

Jyoti