

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****MCRC No. 4159 of 2020**

1. Sahodara Bai @ Draupati Bai D/o Shri Kedar Nath W/o Shri Santosh Sahu, Aged About 42 Years
2. Ku. Preeti D/o Shri Santosh Sahu Aged About 21 Years
3. Ku. Annu D/o Shri Santosh Sahu Aged About 18 Years
4. Ramsharan S/o Shri Kedar Nath Aged About 34 Years
5. Jamuna S/o Shri Ramsharan Aged About 28 Years

All R/o Village- Loharsi (Son), Police Station- Pachpedi, Civil And Revenue District- Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

- State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Station House Officer, Police Station- Pachpedi, Civil And Revenue District- Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondent

For Applicant
For Respondent /State

Mr. Paras Mani Shriwas, Advocate
Mr. KK Singh, Government Advocate

Proceedings through Video Conferencing**SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra****Order On Board****31/8/2020**

1. Heard.
2. This is an application filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the applicants,

who have been arrested in connection with Crime No.42/2020 registered at Police Station Pachpedi District Bilaspur (CG) for the offence punishable under Sections 147,148, 302 read with Section 149 of the IPC.

3. One Santosh Sahu had an extra martial affair with his neighbour Leela Bai (deceased). On the date of the incident, the accused persons raised a quarrel with Sohan Sahu S/o deceased Leela Sahu, which was informed by Sohan Sahu to her mother and thereafter, in further quarrel, the applicants assaulted deceased Leela Sahu by hands, fists and clubs causing her death.
4. In the FIR and diary statements of eye-witness Sohan Sahu, the allegation of assault has been made directly against applicant No.1 Sahodara Bai @ Draupati Bai, applicant No.2 Ku. Preeti and applicant No.4 Ramsharan, therefore, their prayer for grant of bail is rejected.
5. Accordingly, the bail application filed on behalf of **applicant No.1 Sahodara Bai @ Draupati Bai, applicant No.2 Ku. Preeti and applicant No.4 Ramsharan**, is **dismissed**. However, they would be at liberty to revive their prayer before this Court after examination of material witnesses including eye-witness Sohan Sahu.
6. Considering the allegations against **applicant No.3 Ku. Annu** and **applicant No.5 Jamuna, wife of Shri Ramsharan** (wrongly mentioned as S/o Shri Ramsharan in the cause title), this Court

is inclined to release the applicants on regular bail.

7. Accordingly, the bail application filed on behalf of **applicant No.3 Ku. Annu** and **applicant No.5 Jamuna** is **allowed** and they are directed to be released on bail on each of them furnishing a personal bond for a sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court. They are directed to appear before the trial Court on each and every date given by the said Court.

8. Certified copy as per rules. Sd/-

(Prashant Kumar Mishra)

Judge

Shyna