

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 2627 of 2020**

- Abhay Kumar Tripathi, S/o J.N. Tripathi, Aged About 62 Years Retd. Patwari, R/o H.No. 55, Kalindi Kunj Colony, Kabir Chowk, Jute Mill Road, Raigarh, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary, Department of Revenue, Mahanadi Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, Atal Nagar, Post Office And Police Station Naya Raipur, Atal Nagar, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh,
2. Joint Director, Treasury, Accounts and Pension, Bilaspur Division, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.
3. Sub Divisional Magistrate (Revenue), Raigarh, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.
4. Tahsildar, Pussuore, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	: Mr. Dhani Ram Patel, Advocate
For Respondents/State	: Mr. Amrito Das, Additional A.G.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri**Order on Board****10/07/2020**

1. The grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is for grant of the benefit of one annual increment while fixing pension and other retiral dues payable to the petitioner.
2. According to the petitioner, he retired from service on 30th June, 2019. Since he has retired from service w.e.f. 30.06.2019, he

would be entitled for the annual increment that would be payable to him for the period between 1st June, 2018 to 30th June, 2019 as the date of annual increment payable to the petitioner is 1st of July. Therefore, according to the counsel for the petitioner, while quantifying pension and other retiral dues, the annual increment which the petitioner became entitled for having worked till 30th June, 2019 has to be added to the last pay and other allowance also.

3. Learned State counsel do not dispute the fact brought to the notice of the Court by the petitioner.
4. Given the said facts and circumstances of the case, let the respondents consider the case of the petitioner for grant of one annual increment to the last salary which the petitioner has received for the purpose of quantifying pension and other retiral dues. While deciding the claim of the petitioner, the respondents shall take into consideration the judgment of the Division Bench of Madras High Court in the case of *P. Ayyamperumal Vs. The Registrar, Central Administrative Tribunal and Others* decided on 15.09.2017 in W.P. No. 15732 of 2017.
5. With the aforesaid direction, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

Goutam Bhaduri
Judge