

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (C) No. 1365 of 2020**

Haji Mir Qadir Ali S/o Mir Zakir Ali, Aged About 69 Years, Member Of
C.G. State Waqf Board, Raipur, District – Raipur, Chhattisgarh, R/o
Tikra Para, Post & Tehsil - Raipur, Police Station - City Kotwali, District
– Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Scheduled Caste And
Scheduled Tribe Welfare Department (Minority), Mantralaya, Mahanadi
Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District – Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Joint Secretary, Scheduled Caste And
Scheduled Tribe Welfare Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan,
Naya Raipur, District – Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Chhattisgarh State Waqf Board, Through Its Chief Executive Officer,
Ambedkar Chowk, Near Ghadi Chowk Raipur, District - Raipur
Chhattisgarh
4. Chhattisgarh State Waqf Board Through Its Chief Executive Officer
Ambedkar Chowk, Near Ghadi Chowk Raipur, District - Raipur
Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Surfaraj Khan, Advocate
For State/Respondents 1 & 2	:	Mr. Sudeep Verma, Dy. Govt. Advocate
For Respondents 3 & 4	:	Mr. Malay Shrivastava, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order On Board**

14.07.2020

1. The limited grievance that the petitioner has raised in the present writ petition is the inaction on the part of the respondent Waqf Board in not granting wages/honorarium payable to the petitioner since April, 2020.
2. According to the petitioner, he has approached the respondents by way of representation and wants a limited direction from this Court to the respondents 3 & 4 to take a decision on the said representation at the earliest.
3. Mr. Malay Shrivastava, advocate, appearing for the Waqf Board submits that he has instruction to say that the petitioner has already resigned from the post of Mutwali and therefore he would not be entitled for any further honorarium and that there are other grounds also which dis-entitles the petitioner for honorarium beyond April, 2020.
4. This Court does not want to enter into the merits of the case at this juncture as the limited relief that the petitioner has sought is for a direction to the respondents to decide the representation that he has raised.
5. The writ petition accordingly stands disposed of directing the respondents 3 & 4 to take a decision on the representation that the petitioner has raised, on its own merits, in accordance with the rules and regulations governing the field, at the earliest, preferably within a period of 45 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

**Sd/-
P. Sam Koshy
Judge**