

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR
MCRCA No. 848 of 2020

Jeetu Thakur S/o J.L. Singh Aged About 36 Years R/o Hemu Nagar, Near Kedia House, P.S. Torva, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.

---- Applicant

Versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Civil Line, Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondent

For Applicant : Mr. Rohit Sharma, Advocate.
For Respondent/State : Mr. Alok Nigam, G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Arvind Singh Chandel
Order On Board

02/09/2020

1. The matter is heard through video conferencing.
2. The applicant has filed this bail application for grant of anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. as he is apprehending his arrest in connection with crime no. 135/2017, registered at Police Station Civil Line, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 143, 149, 186, 353 & 34 of the IPC.
3. According to the case of prosecution, on 23.02.2017, the applicant along with other co-accused persons went to surround the house of the then Minister of Excise namely Shri Amar Agrawal and when the police personnels tried to stop them they argued with the police personnels and also used criminal force. Thereafter, the matter was reported by the complainant and on the basis of said complaint, offence has been registered.
4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case due to some political rivalry. The Counsel further submits that all other co-accused persons have already granted benefit of anticipatory bail by this Court and the Court of Sessions'. Hence, it is prayed that the applicant may be granted benefit of anticipatory bail.

5. Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of State opposes the bail application.
6. I have heard learned Counsel for the parties.
7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, evidence collected by the prosecution, arguments advanced by both the counsel appearing for the parties and further considering the fact that all the co-accused persons have already granted benefit of anticipatory bail. Without further commenting on other merits of the case, in my considered opinion, it is a fit case for grant anticipatory bail to the applicant.
8. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail application is allowed.
9. It is directed that in the event of arrest, the applicant shall be released on bail on furnishing a personal bond for a sum of Rs. 25,000/- with one solvent surety for the like sum to the satisfaction of the officer arresting him and he shall abide by all the following terms and conditions:-
 - I. That accused/applicant shall made himself available for interrogation before the concerned Investigating Officer as and when required;
 - II. The accused/applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
 - III. The accused/applicant shall not act, in any manner which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial; and
 - IV. The applicant shall appear before the Trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

Sd/-

(Arvind Singh Chandel)
Judge