

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 2443 of 2020**

- Dhanesh Kumar Verma, S/o Shri Malik Ram Verma, Aged About 45 Years Working As - Head Master, At - Primary School, Rasedi, Block - Baloda Bazar, District - Baloda Bazar Bhatapara Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through - Its Secretary, Department Of School Education, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. The Director, Public Instructions, Chhattisgarh, Indrawati Bhawan, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. District Education Officer, Education District - Baloda Bazar, District - Baloda Bazar - Bhatapara Chhattisgarh.
4. Block Education Officer, Block - Baloda Bazar, District - Baloda Bazar - Bhatapara Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner : Shri Rajesh Kumar Kesharwani, Advocate

For Respondent/ State : Shri Vikram Sharma, Dy. G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri**Order****26/06/2020**

1. Heard.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he was appointed as Head Master in the year 2010 and as per the government circular dated 25.03.2017 & 27.09.2017 (Annexure P-3) and 05.01.2011 (Annexure P-4) , since the petitioner has completed his D.Ed degree before joining at his own

expenses, therefore the petitioner was given two advance increment. Subsequently, general order dated 07.03.2020 (Annexure P-1) was issued on the basis of which the petitioner was served with a show cause notice dated 04.06.2020 (Annexure P-2) wherein the petitioner has been asked to clarify his entitlement of two advance increment. He further submits that at the same time a general order dated 12.06.2020 (Annexure P-8) has been issued whereby recovery has been ordered.

3. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is entitled for two advance increment because of the reason that he has completed his D.Ed degree before joining his service at his own expenses. He further submits that the issue has been already decided by this High Court and the entitlement of petitioner is no more an issue to be adjudicated.
4. Learned State counsel would submit that on the basis of instructions i.e. order dated 07.03.2020 (Annexure P-1), show cause notice dated 04.06.2020 (Annexure P-2) has been issued to the petitioner and the petitioner can very well satisfy the authority about the entitlement that he is legally entitled to receive two advance increment as such the petition is pre-mature.
5. Perusal of order dated 04.06.2020 (Annexure P-2) would show that the petitioner has been called to place the documents whereby he can be said to be legally entitled to receive two advance increment. It is an show cause notice.
6. Under the circumstances, since the State has called for document verification about the entitlement of the petitioner, the petitioner may approach the authority i.e. Block Education Officer who has issued the order dated 04.06.2020 (Annexure P-2) to apprise him about his entitlement for

payment of two advance increment. The petitioner shall be allowed to place the necessary document and if necessary shall also be heard.

7. In the meanwhile, till such entitlement of the petitioner is adjudicated by the authority who has passed the order dated 04.06.2020, no proceeding shall be made for the recovery of two advance increment which has already been paid to the petitioner.
8. With the aforesaid observation, the petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-

Goutam Bhaduri
Judge

Jyoti