

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPC No. 1304 of 2020**

Sanjay Agrawal S/o Ramrsal Agrawal Aged About 64 Years Address  
49/879 Baniyapara, Tiraha, Purani Basti, Raipur District Raipur  
Chhattisgarh **---- Petitioner**

**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Department Of Urban Administration Mahanadi Bhavan, Atal Nagar, New Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Municipal Corporation Birgaon, Through Its Chief Municipal Officer Birgaon District Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. The Collector Raipur Chhattisgarh
4. The Land Acquisition Officer Cum Sub Divisional Officer (Rev.) Raipur Chhattisgarh
5. The Tehsildar Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.

**---- Respondents**

|                      |   |                              |
|----------------------|---|------------------------------|
| For Petitioner       | : | Mr. Akhilesh Kumar, Advocate |
| For State/Respondent | : | Mr. Jitendra Pali, Dy. A.G.  |

**Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy****Order on Board****26/06/2020**

1. The grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is the action on the part of the respondent No. 2 in constructing a road over the private property belonging to the petitioner.
2. The contention of the counsel for the petitioner is that the said property has neither been acquired nor taken over, neither petitioner has been paid any compensation for the same. Counsel for the Petitioner further submits that he has already approached the respondent No. 2 by moving a detailed representation Annexure P/4 and his grievance would be redressed, if the respondent No. 2 may take an appropriate decision on the said representation at the earliest.
3. Given the limited request that the counsel for the petitioner has made, the writ petition itself can be disposed of directing the respondent No. 2 to consider the grievance of the petitioner and

decide his representation in accordance with law at the earliest preferably within a period of 90 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

4. Needless to mention that the respondent No. 2 is expected to pass a reasoned order on the representation and the contention raised in said writ petition.
5. The writ petition accordingly stands disposed of.

Sd/-

**(P. Sam Koshy)**  
**Judge**

Jyotijha