

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**MCRC No. 3115 of 2020**

- Laxminarayan Sahu @ Chintu Sahu, Aged About 22 Years, S/o Shri Ramsay Sahu, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Village Kurda, Police Station And Tehsil Champa, District Janjgir - Champa Chhattisgarh.

---- Applicant**Versus**

- State Of Chhattisgarh, Through - Station House Officer, Police Station Champa, District - Janjgir - Champa, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondent

For Applicant	:	Mr. Ashutosh Trivedi, Adv.
For Respondent/State	:	Mr. Sameer Sharma, Dy. G.A.

Hon'ble Smt. Justice Rajani Dubey**Order on Board****11/06/2020**

1. Heard on admission.
2. Admit.
3. The accused/applicant has moved this first bail application under Section 439 of Criminal Procedure Code for releasing him on regular bail during trial in connection with Crime No. 42/2020 registered at Police Station Champa, District-Janjgir-Champa (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 452, 294, 506 and 323 of the IPC.
4. The prosecution story in brief is that, complainant lodge a report that on 10.02.2020 the applicant/accused trespassed in her house, abused with filthy language and also assaulted her with fist and leg. Based on this offence has been registered against the present applicant.
5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the crime in question. He further submits that the complainant and her family members have previous enmity with the applicant/accused as just before the incidence, the election of Gram Panchayat took place wherein the complainant had

been defeated. The complainant and her family members considered the applicant as the reason for her defeat. He next submits that the applicant is in jail since 19.05.2020, there is no likelihood of his case being decided in near future, therefore, the present applicant may be released on bail.

6. On the other hand, counsel for the State opposes the bail application and submits that the allegation against the applicant is of serious in nature, the applicant assaulted to the complainant, therefore, no case is made out for grant of bail.
7. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.
8. Taking into consideration the nature and gravity of the offence, facts and circumstances of the case, detention period of applicant and further considering that the applicant is in jail since 19.05.2020, and the trial is likely to take some time for its final disposal, this Court is of the view that it is a fit case to release the applicant on bail. Accordingly, the application is allowed.
9. Accused/applicant is directed to be released on bail on his executing a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- with one local surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the trial Court. He is directed to appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court.
10. It is made clear that if the applicant has already been released on bail pursuant to the bail bond already furnished in view of the order passed by the High Power Committee constituted in compliance of the order of the Supreme Court of India dated 23.03.2020 in the matter of **In Re : Contagion of COVID 19 Virus in Prisons** (Suo Moto Writ Petition (c) No. 1/2020), he need not furnish bail bond afresh and the bail bond already furnished shall be deemed to be the bail bond furnished in compliance of the order of this Court, but if he has not furnished the bail bond earlier, then he will be required to furnish bail bond within four weeks from today.

**Sd/-
(Rajani Dubey)
Judge**