

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**MCRC No. 3041 of 2020**

- Pintu @ Dharmendra Patel S/o Santram Patel, Aged About 25 Years R/o Village Gataparkala, Tehsil And Police Station Khairagarh, District Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh.

---- Applicant**Versus**

- State Of Chhattisgarh, Through - Police Station Khairagarh, Crime No. 148/2020, District Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondent

For Applicant	:	Mr. Rakesh Pandey, Adv.
For Respondent/State	:	Mr. Dinesh Tiwari, Dy. G.A.

Hon'ble Smt. Justice Rajani Dubey**Order on Board****09/06/2020**

1. The accused/applicant has moved this first bail application under Section 439 of Criminal Procedure Code for releasing him on regular bail during trial in connection with Crime No. 148/2020 registered at Police Station Khairagarh, District- Rajnandgaon (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 186, 188, 353, 332 and 506 of the IPC.
2. The prosecution story in brief is that, complainant Amrutlal Sahu lodge a complaint that his is a Panchayat Secretary (Sachiv) of village Gataparkala and as such he is presently appointed to look after the quarantine centre and manage its affairs. The applicant who has been quarantined in that quarantine centre for the past 15 days for preventive measures abused and threatened to caused death of the complainant on the issue of capturing applicant's image. Thereafter, applicant removed his Kada and thrashed on the head of complainant resulted which complainant sustained injury. Based on this offence has been registered against the present applicant.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the crime in

question. He further submits that the applicant is in jail since 07.05.2020, there is no likelihood of his case being decided in near future, therefore, the present applicant may be released on bail.

4. On the other hand, counsel for the State opposes the bail application and submits that the allegation against the applicant is of serious in nature, the applicant violated the rules of Lock-down in this pandemic situation and assaulted the person who was doing his duty, therefore, no case is made out for grant of bail.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.
6. Taking into consideration the nature and gravity of the offence, facts and circumstances of the case, detention period of applicant and further considering that the applicant is in jail since 07.05.2020, and the trial is likely to take some time for its final disposal, this Court is of the view that it is a fit case to release the applicant on bail. Accordingly, the application is allowed.
7. Accused/applicant is directed to be released on bail on his executing a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- with one local surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the trial Court. He is directed to appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court.
8. It is made clear that if the applicant has already been released on bail pursuant to the bail bond already furnished in view of the order passed by the High Power Committee constituted in compliance of the order of the Supreme Court of India dated 23.03.2020 in the matter of **In Re : Contagion of COVID 19 Virus in Prisons** (Suo Moto Writ Petition (c) No. 1/2020), he need not furnish bail bond afresh and the bail bond already furnished shall be deemed to be the bail bond furnished in compliance of the order of this Court, but if he has not furnished the bail bond earlier, then he will be required to furnish bail bond within four weeks from today.

**Sd/-
(Rajani Dubey)
Judge**