

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPURWrit Petition (Cr.) No.233 of 2020

Ashok Chaturvedi, S/o Harivansh Chaturvedi, aged about 48 years, working as a General Manager, Textbook Corporation, Madhyamik Shiksha Mandal Complex, Pension Bada, Raipur (C.G.)

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Chief Secretary, Home Department, Atal Nagar, Raipur (C.G.) 492 001.
2. Director General of Police, Police Head Quarter, Naya Raipur, Distt. Raipur (C.G.) 492 001.
3. Superintendent of Police, Economic Offense Wing, Chhattisgarh, Jai Jawan Petrol Pump, Telibandha, Raipur, Distt. Raipur (C.G.) 492 001.
4. Station House Officer, Police Station Economic Offence Wing and Anti Corruption Bureau, Raipur (C.G.)

---- Respondents

ANDWrit Petition (Cr.) No.229 of 2020

Hitesh Choubey, S/o Late Shri Iswar Prasad Choubey, aged about 36 years, Proprietor at Hope Enterprises, Registered Office at Shop No.222, Orbit Tower, Sunder Nagar, Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Chief Secretary, Home Department, Atal Nagar, Raipur (C.G.) 492 001.
2. Director General of Police, Police Head Quarter, Naya Raipur, Distt. Raipur (C.G.) 492 001.
3. Superintendent of Police, Economic Offense Wing, Chhattisgarh, Jai Jawan Petrol Pump, Telibandha, Raipur, Distt. Raipur (C.G.) 492 001.
4. Station House Officer, Police Station Economic Offence Wing and Anti Corruption Bureau, Raipur (C.G.)

---- Respondents

For Petitioner in W.P.(Cr.)No.233/2020: -

Mr. Shashank Thakur, Advocate.

For Petitioner in W.P.(Cr.)No.229/2020: -

Mr. A.V. Shridhar, Advocate.

For Respondents / State: -

Mr. Alok Bakshi, Additional Advocate General and

Mr. Mateen Siddiqui, Deputy Advocate General.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order On Board

03/06/2020

1. Since both the writ petitions involve common question of law, they are heard together and are being disposed of by this common order.
2. Mr. Alok Bakshi, learned Additional Advocate General and Mr. Mateen Siddiqui, learned Deputy Advocate General appearing for the State / respondents, would submit that affidavit of the concerned Superintendent of Police has been filed and true copy of the FIR will be provided to the respective petitioners within 24 hours from today.
3. The aforesaid statement is taken on record.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the writ petitions may be accordingly disposed of.
5. The petitioners / representative(s) of the petitioners will approach the competent authority to get the copy of FIR on usual charges as stated by the learned State counsel.
6. With the aforesaid submission and undertaking of the learned State counsel, both the writ petitions stand finally disposed of. No order as to cost(s).

Sd/-
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge