

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 2370 of 2020**

Rajendra Prasad S/o Hari Lal Aged About 24 Years R/o Tetkaama, Post Bahana, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of School Education, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar Nawa Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Director Directorate Of Public Education Department, Indrawati Bhawan, Atal Nagar Nawa Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Chhattisgarh Professional Examination Board Office At VYAPAM Bhawan, North Block, Sector-19, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
4. Amit Kumar Vishwas S/o Krishna Vishwas Through The Director, Chhattisgarh Professional, Examination Board, Office At VYAPAM Bhawan, North Block Sector-19, Atal Nagar Nawa Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh,
5. Naresh Kumar Kashyap S/o Shyam Lal Kashyap Through The Director, Chhattisgarh Professional, Examination Board, Office At VYAPAM Bhawan, North Block Sector-19, Atal Nagar Nawa Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh,
6. Rajendra Pratap Sahu S/o Yad Lal Sahu Through The Director, Chhattisgarh Professional, Examination Board, Office At VYAPAM Bhawan, North Block Sector-19, Atal Nagar Nawa Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Praveen Dhurandhar, Advocate.
For Respondents/State	:	Smt. Richa Shukla, Dy.G.A.
For respondent No.3	:	Shri Sourabh Pandey, Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri**Order****23/06/2020**

Heard.

1. Grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner belongs to ST category and he is claiming under the physically handicapped quota. He would submit that the State has finalized the list of physically disabled category candidate for the post of Assistant Teacher (Science), however all the other category have been included but category of ST has not been included in such physically handicapped category. Therefore at this stage, the petitioner prayed that the petitioner may be given liberty to raise objection before respondent No.2 who in turn may decide the same.
2. Considering the limited prayer of the petitioner, without any observation on the merits, at this stage the petitioner is given liberty to raise objection before respondent No.2 within a period of two weeks from today. Thereafter the respondent No.2 may decide the objection of the petitioner with respect to the reservation claimed in respect of the Physically Handicapped Scheduled Tribe Category.
3. With such observation, the petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(Goutam Bhaduri)
Judge