

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**MCRC No. 2845 of 2020**

- Kishun Baiga, S/o Sonu Singh Baiga, Aged About 23 Years, Occupation Shopkeeper of Provision Store and Labour Work, R/o Village Amaniya, Police Station- Kukdur, Tahsil- Pandariya, District- Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.

---- Applicant**Versus**

- State of Chhattisgarh Through District Magistrate, Kabirdham Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondent

For Applicant	: Mr. Dharmesh Shrivastava, Adv.
For Respondent/State	: Mr. K. K. Singh, G.A.

Hon'ble Smt. Justice Rajani Dubey**Order on Board****03.06.2020**

1. Heard on admission.
2. Admit.
3. The accused/applicant has moved this first bail application under Section 439 of Criminal Procedure Code for releasing him on regular bail during trial in connection with Crime No. 05/2020 registered at Police Station- Taregaon Jungle, District- Kabirdham, (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 420, 419 of IPC.
4. The prosecution story, in brief is that, complainant Smt. Madhuri Sharma lodged a report alleging that on 05.03.2019, the present applicant solemnized his second marriage to avail of the benefit of Government Scheme named Mukhyamantri Kanyadan Vivah Yojna and gained a sum of Rs. 15,000/-. Thereafter, offence has

been registered against the present applicant and he has been taken into custody.

5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the crime in question. He further submits that complainant has no objection regarding grant of bail to the applicant. The applicant is in jail since 18.03.2020, there is no likelihood of his case being decided in near future, therefore, the present applicant may be released on bail.
6. On the other hand, counsel for the State opposes the bail application and the submission made in this respect. It is submitted that the allegation against him is of serious in nature, therefore, no case is made out for grant of bail.
7. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.
8. Taking into consideration the nature and gravity of the offence, facts and circumstances of the case, and further considering the facts that the applicant is in jail since 18.03.2020 and the trial is likely to take some time for its final disposal, this Court is of the view that it is a fit case to release the applicant on bail. Accordingly, the application is allowed.
9. Accused/applicant is directed to be released on bail on his executing a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 50,000/-, with one local surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the trial Court. He is directed to appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court.
10. It is made clear that if the applicant has already been released on bail pursuant to the bail bonds already furnished in view of the order passed by the High Power committee constituted in compliance of the order of the Supreme Court of India dated 23.03.2020 in the matter of **In Re: Contagion of COVID 19 Virus in Prisons** (Suo Moto Writ Petition (c) No. 1/2020), he need not furnish bail bonds afresh and the bail bonds already furnished shall be deemed to be the bail bonds furnished in compliance of the order of this Court, but if he has not furnished

the bail bonds earlier, then he will be required to furnish bail bonds within four weeks from today.

11.Certified copy, as per rule.

**Sd/-
(Rajani Dubey)
Judge**

Ruchi