

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

MCRC No. 2822 of 2020

- Bhagbali Sahu S/o Ramadhar Sahu Aged About 41 Years R/o Village Kuteli Kala, Police Station And Tahsil Chhuikhadan, District Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh. **----Applicant**

Versus

- State Of Chhattisgarh Through District Magistrate, Rajnandgaon, District Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh. **---- Respondent**

 For Applicant : Mr. Rakesh Pandey, Advocate
 For Respondent/State : Mr. Raghvendra Verma, G.A.

Hon'ble Smt. Justice Rajani Dubey
Order on Board

02.06.2020

1. Heard on admission.
2. Admit.
3. The accused/applicant has moved this first bail application under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for his release on regular bail during trial in connection with Crime No.40/2020, registered at Police Station - Chhuikhadan, District- Rajnandgaon (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Section 452, 354, 354 (A) (1)(ii), 294, 323 of IPC.
4. It is the case of the prosecution that a complaint was lodged by complainant alleging therein that on 27.02.2020 at about 10.30 Hrs. the applicant knocked the door of house and when her mother opened the door, the applicant abused her, caught hold her thereafter kissed her and also caused teeth bite on lips and she got bite injury on her lips, as per medical examination. The complainant tried to save her mother but she was assaulted. Based on this, offence has been registered. The present applicant is in custody

since 27.02.2020.

5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the crime in question. He further submits that as the applicant is in custody since 27.02.2020, charge sheet has been filed and the trial is likely to take some time for its final disposal, therefore, he may be released on bail.
6. On the other hand, counsel for the State opposes the bail application.
7. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
8. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, in particular the nature of offence and further considering the fact that the applicant is in jail since 27.02.2020, charge sheet has been filed and there is no likelihood of his case being decided in near future, without further commenting on merits of the case, I am of the opinion that present is a fit case, in which, the applicant should be enlarged on regular bail.
9. Accordingly, the application filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is allowed.
10. It is directed that the applicant shall be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond in sum of Rs.25,000/- with one local surety in like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court for his appearance before the said Court as and when directed, till the disposal of the trial.
11. It is made clear that if the applicant has already been

released on bail pursuant to the bail bonds already furnished in view of the order passed by the High Power Committee constituted in compliance of the order of the Supreme Court of India dated 23.3.2020 in the matter of **In Re : Contagion of COVID 19 Virus in Prisons** (Suo Moto Writ Petition (C) No. 1/2020), they need not furnish bail bond afresh and the bail bond already furnished shall be deemed to be the bail bond furnished in compliance of the order of this Court, but if he has not furnished the bail bond earlier, then he will be required to furnish bail bond within four weeks from today.

12. Certified copy, as per rules.

Sd/-

(Rajani Dubey)
Judge