

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

MCRC No. 2620 of 2020

- Ghuran Sai Painkara, S/o. Chudu Ram, Aged about 75 years,
R/o. Village Kolhenjhadiya, PS Tumla, District Jashpur CG

---- Applicant

Versus

- State Of Chhattisgarh through Station House officer, Police of
Police Station- Tumla district Jashpur Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondent

For Applicant	: Shri Sanjeev Kumar Sahu, Advocate
For Respondent/State	: Shri H.S.ahluwalia, Dy.AG

Hon'ble Smt. Justice Rajani Dubey

Order On Board

04/08/2020

Heard on admission.

Admit.

The applicant has filed this application under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail as he is in custody in connection with Crime No.61/2020 registered at police station Tumla, District Jashpur (CG) for the offence punishable under Sections 363 and 370 IPC.

As per prosecution case, report was lodged by the father of the victim against the applicant and co-accused for committing the offence

of human trafficking. It is alleged that they have abducted his daughter for engaging her in work at Delhi.

Counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the case. He submits that the main allegation is against the co-accused namely Indrawati and she is still absconding. He further submits that the applicant is aged about 75 years and is in jail since 18.12.2019; charge sheet has been filed and looking to the conduct of the prosecution it is clear that the trial will take time for its conclusion.

On the other hand counsel for the State opposes the bail application.

Having heard counsel for the parties, considered the totality of the fact, in particular the detention period of the applicant, I am inclined to release him on regular bail. Accordingly, his application filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure is allowed.

It is directed that in the event of the applicant's furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 50,000/- with one surety for the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned Court, he shall be released on bail.

It is made clear that if the applicant has already been released on bail pursuant to the bail bonds already furnishing in view of the order passed by the High Power Committee constituted in compliance of the order of the Supreme Court of India dated 23.03.2020 in the matter of **In Re: Contagion of COVID 19 Virus in Prisons** (Suo Moto Writ Petition (C) No. 1/2020), he need not furnish bail bonds afresh and the bail bonds already furnished shall be deemed to be the bail

bonds furnished in compliance of the order of this Court, but if he has not furnished the bail bonds earlier, then he will be required to furnish bail bonds within four weeks' from today.

Sd/-
(Rajani Dubey)
Judge

suguna