

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 2025 of 2020**

- Sandeep Kumar Dhruv S/o Kartik Kumar Dhruv Aged About 27 Years Presently Working As Lecturer (Nagriya Nikay) At Government Girls School Takhatpur, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Urban Administration And Development, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Joint Director Department Of Urban Administration And Development, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Municipal Officer Municipal Council Takhatpur, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner : Shri C. J.K. Rao, Advocate

For Respondents/State : Ms. Akanksha Jain, Dy. G. A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri**Order On Board****08/06/2020**

1. The dispute raised in the present writ petition is the non-consideration of the case of the petitioner for grant of revised pay scale on completion of 8 years of service. The denial to the petitioner was that the petitioner has not completed 8 years of service under the same employer.

2. The grievance of the petitioner was that the petitioner was initially appointed under the Panchayat department and subsequently the petitioner appeared in the recruitment process of Shiksha Karmi

Grade-I conducted by the Chief Municipal Officer, Nagar Panchayat, Takhatpur, District Bilaspur and he was selected and appointed by order dated 27.07.2018 and taking both the services, the petitioner has completed more than 8 years of service and therefore he is entitled for the benefit of higher pay scale.

3. The counsel for the petitioner submits that the issue involved in the present writ petition is squarely covered by the judgment of this Court in a bunch of writ petitions disposed off on 27.10.2018. The lead case of which being WPS No. 6147/2018 (Shabnum Khatun v. State of Chhattisgarh & Ors.)

4. This aspect is not disputed by the State counsel so far as the matter being similar to the one passed in the case of “Shabnum Khatun” (supra).

5. Given the facts and circumstances of the case the present writ petition also deserves to be and is accordingly disposed off in similar terms to the order passed in WPS No. 6147/2018 (Shabnum Khatun v. State of Chhattisgarh & Ors).

6. The writ petition accordingly stands allowed. The petitioner would be entitled for the benefit as prayed for subject to the verification of the case by the Department.

Sd/-

Goutam Bhaduri
Judge