

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**MCRC No. 2054 of 2020**

- Raju @ Rajkumar Pandit S/o- Shri Surendra Pandit, Aged about 34 years, R/o- Near Nehru Bhawan, Chingripara, PS and PO Supela, Bhilai, District Durg (C.G.)

---- Applicant

Versus

- State of Chhattisgarh, Through – SHO, Police Station- Supela, Bhilai, District Durg (C.G.)

---- Respondent

For Applicant : Mr. Vipin Tiwari, Advocate.
For Respondent/State : Mr. Sameer Sharma, Dy G.A.

Hon'ble Smt. Justice Rajani Dubey**Order on Board****16.06.2020**

- The accused/applicant has moved his first bail application under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for releasing him on regular bail during trial in connection with Crime No. 1255/2019 registered at Police Station – Supela, Bhilai, District Durg (C.G.) (wrongly mentioned as Jamul in order sheet) for the offence punishable under Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act.
- According to the prosecution story, the Police Station- Supela has got secret information that the present applicant is having arms to commit some serious offence at Akashgang Market, Supela, Bhilai, the police arrest the applicant on 25.12.2019 and seized the country made gun and one bullet and asked for license but the applicant has not produced any document, so the police has registered Crime No. 1255/2019 under Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act.
- Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent person who has been falsely implicated in the aforesaid case. He next contended that the applicant is in jail since 25.12.2019, therefore he may be granted bail.
- On the other hand, counsel for the State opposes the bail

application.

- I have heard learned Counsel for the parties.
- Taking into consideration the nature and gravity of the offence, facts and circumstances of the case, detention period of the applicant, as trial is likely to take some time, without further commenting on merits of the case, I am inclined to release him on bail.
- Accordingly, the bail application is allowed.
- It is directed that the applicant shall be released on bail on executing a personal bond for a sum of Rs. 25,000/-, with one local surety for the like amount to the satisfaction of the Trial Court for his appearance before the said Court as and when directed.
- It is made clear that if the applicant has already been released on bail pursuant to the bail bonds already furnished in view of the order passed by the High Power Committee constituted in compliance of the order of the Supreme Court of India dated 23.03.2020 in the matter of **in Re : Contagion of COVID 19 Virus in Prisons** (Suo Moto Writ Petition (c) No. 1/2020), they need not furnish bail bonds afresh and the bail bonds already furnished shall be deemed to be the bail bonds furnished in compliance of the order of this Court, but if they have not furnished the bail bonds earlier, then they will be required to furnish bail bonds within four weeks from today.

Sd/-
(Rajani Dubey)
Judge

Vasant