

NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****WPS No. 1774 of 2020**

- Shashi Bhatt D/o Lt. Shri L.S. Bhatt Aged About 62 Years R/o Q. No. 33, Kirodimal Colony, Handi Chowk Raigarh Tahsil And District- Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

**---- Petitioner****Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Higher Education Department Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, General Administration Department, (Government Employee Welfare Branch) Mahanadi Bhawan New Mantralay Atal Nagar District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. The Commissioner Higher Education, Atal Nagar, Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. The Joint Director Treasury, Account And Pension Bilaspur, District- Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

**---- Respondents**


---

|                        |   |                                     |
|------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner         | : | Shri Vineet Kumar Pandey,, Advocate |
| For Respondents/ State | : | Ms. Richa Shukla, Dy. G.A.          |

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri****Order On Board****18/05/2020**

1. Heard.
2. The grievance of the petitioner in the present petition is for grant of benefit of one annual increment while fixing pension and other retiral dues payable to the petitioner.
3. According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner retired from service on 30.06.2019. Since the petitioner retired from service w.e.f.

30.06.2019, he would be entitled for the annual increment that would be payable to them for the period between 1st of June, 2018 to 30th of June, 2019 as the date of annual increment payable to the petitioners is of first of July. Therefore, according to the counsel for the petitioner, while quantifying pension and other retiral dues, the annual increment which the petitioner became entitled for having worked till 30.06.2019 has to be added to the last pay and other allowances also.

4. Given the said facts and circumstances of the case, let the respondents 1 to 3 consider the case of the petitioners for grant of one annual increment to the last salary which the petitioners have received for the purpose of quantifying pension and other retiral dues. While deciding the claim of the petitioners, the respondents shall take into consideration the judgment of the Division Bench of Madras High Court in the case of P. Ayyamperumal vs. The Registrar, Central Administrative Tribunal decided on 15.09.2017 in W.P.No.15732 of 2017.
5. With the aforesaid observation, the petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-

Goutam Bhaduri  
Judge