

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

CRR No. 397 of 2020

Akash Singh, S/o Vijay Singh, Aged About 16 Years, through Natural Guardian of Applicant Father Vijay Singh, R/o Ghasidas Nagar, Near Hanuman Mandir, P.S. Jamul, District- Durg (C.G.)

--- Applicant

Versus

State of Chhattisgarh, Through District Magistrate, Durg, District- Durg (C.G.)

--- Respondent

For Applicant : Mr. B.P. Singh, Advocate.

For State/ Respondent : Mr. Devendra Pratap Singh, Dy. A.G.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rajendra Chandra Singh Samant

Order on Board

01/07/2020

1. This revision petition has been brought being aggrieved by the impugned judgment dated 24.02.2020, passed in Criminal Appeal No. 41/2020, by Additional Sessions Judge, Second Fast Track Special Court, Durg, District- Durg (C.G.) by which the order rejecting the bail application of the applicant by the Juvenile Justice Board has been upheld and the appeal has been dismissed.
2. It is submitted that the social status report had been in favour of the applicant. Looking to the age of the applicant, his detention in juvenile home would adversely affect his mental makeup. The charge-sheet has already been filed after completion of investigation, therefore, he is entitled for grant of bail. Hence, it is prayed that the impugned order and the order of the Board

needs interference of this Court. It is also prayed that this revision petition may be allowed and the relief may be granted to the applicant.

3. Learned State counsel opposing the petition submits that this applicant is main offender, therefore, he is not entitled for grant of bail.
4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents placed on record.
5. Considered on the submission made by the counsel from both the sides. This fact has been observed in the impugned order that this applicant is main perpetrator of the crime, which has been committed against a minor victim of aged about 14 years. The social status report mentions that the applicant is associated with unsocial element and he has also a criminal history, therefore, I am of this view that sufficient grounds have been present for rejection of prayer for grant of bail made by this applicant. No error has been committed by the Board as well as by the appellate Court.
6. This revision petition is found without any substance and is hereby dismissed.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
(Rajendra Chandra Singh Samant)
Judge