NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPHC No. 06 of 2019

- Monir @ Munir S/o Late Mohammad Hafiz Aged About 44 Years R/o Gram Kalikapur, Thana - Ramchandrapur, Tahsil Ramanujganj, District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh.

------Petitioner

VERSUS

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through Sachiv, Grih Vibhag, Chhattisgarh Sasan Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Jila Dandhaadhikari District Balrampur, Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh.
3. Police Adhikshak District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh.
4. Uttar Pradesh Sasan Sachiv, Grih Vibhag Sachivalay Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh.
5. Police Adhikshak Ravartsganj, Sonbhadra District Sonbhadra Uttar Pradesh.
6. Police Mahanirikshak Police Headquarter Lucknow Uttar Pradesh.
7. Thana Prabhari Aarkshi Kendra Ramchandrapur, District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh.
8. Thana Prabhari Aarkshi Kendra Vidhamganj District Sonbhadra Uttar Pradesh.
9. Smt. Chanchala Kumari Gupta @ Rehana Parvin W/o Monir @ Munir Aged About 21 Years R/o Gram Patriha, Thana Vidhamganj, District Sonbhadra Uttar Pradesh.
10. Mahesh Kumar Gupta S/o G. Gupta, R/o Gram Pitriha, Thana Vidhamganj, District Sonbhadra Uttar Pradesh.

-------**Respondents**

For Petitioner : None.
For Respondent No.10 : Mr. Akath Kumar Yadav, Advocate.
For Respondent-State : Mr. Gagan Tiwari, Dy. G.A.

Hon'ble Shri P.R. Ramachandra Menon, Chief Justice

Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

Order on Board

Per Parth Prateem Sahu, J.

06/02/2020

1. Heard.

2. Learned counsel representing the State submits that as per the apprehension shown by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the detenue might be at Bhopal (Madhya Pradesh), the police has made attempt to trace out the

detenue at Bhopal also but, she was not found there. He further submits that the police is keeping proper eyes over the parents of the detenue and also on the petitioner to get the whereabouts of her.

3. Learned counsel appearing for respondent No. 10 submits that a criminal case is registered against the petitioner.

4. On the last date of hearing, none appeared on behalf of the petitioner, same is the position today. From the aforementioned fact of non-representation of the case, it appears that the petitioner has lost interest in pursuing the matter further.

5. Looking to the non-representation of the case, when it is called up for hearing, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the case for non-prosecution. Consequently, case is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Sd/-
(P.R. Ramachandra Menon)
Chief Justice

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge

Pawan