

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 1634 of 2020

1. Rajendra Kumar Kashyap, S/o. Nohar Singh Kashyap, Aged About 48 Years, Presently Working As Assistant Teacher (L.B.) At Government Primary School, Seldeep, Block Kurud, District Dhamtari Chhattisgarh.
2. Pramod Kumar Sinha, S/o. Shri Yaswant Ram Sinha, Aged About 33 Years, Presently Working As Assistant Teacher (L.B.) Government Primary School, Parkhanda, Block Kurud, District Dhamtari, Chhattisgarh.
3. Pawan Kumar Sahu, S/o. Shri Dayalu Ram Sahu, Aged About 51 Years, Presently Working As Assistant Teacher (L.B.) Government Primary School, Parkhanda, Block Kurud, District Dhamtari Chhattisgarh.
4. Sekhan Ram Sahu, S/o. Shri Hemlal Sahu, Aged About 36 Years, Presently Working As Assistant Teacher (L.B.) Government Primary School, Joratarain, Block Kurud, District Dhamtari Chhattisgarh.
5. Smt. Omlata Sahu, W/o. Shri Sekhar Ram Sahu, Aged About 33 Years, Presently Working As Assistant Teacher (L.B.) Government Primary School, Singhorikhurd, Block Kurud, District Dhamtari Chhattisgarh.
6. Smt. Vineeta Kurre, W/o. Shri Yashwant Kumar Sahu, Aged About 40 Years, Presently Working As Assistant Teacher (L.B.) Government Primary School, Sanjay Nagar Kurud, Block Kurud, District Dhamtari Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary, Department Of School Education, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar Nawa Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Director Directorate Of Chhattisgarh Public Instruction, Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. The District Education Officer Dhamtari, District Dhamtari Chhattisgarh
4. The Block Education Officer Kurud, District Dhamtari Chhattisgarh
5. The Chief Executive Officer Zila Panchayat Dhamtari, District Dhamtari Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Sahu, Advocate
----------------	---	----------------------------------

For State	:	Mr. Kapil Maini, Panel Lawyer
-----------	---	-------------------------------

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri

Order On Board

09.03.2020

Heard.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners have already rendered 10 years of service, but they have not given the benefit of time pay scale, Kramonnati, as they are entitled.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the issue involved in the present writ petition has already been considered and decided by this Court in WPS No.6278 of 2019, therefore, the same order may be passed in the present writ petition also.
3. This Court in WPS No.6278 of 2019 on 20.08.2019 has passed the following order:-

“1. The limited grievance of the petitioners are that the petitioners are entitled for the benefit of Kramonnati on completing 10 years of service which they have completed but they have not been given the same till date.

2. Given the limited grievance raised, let the petitioners make detailed representation in this regard to respondents No. 3 & 4 who in turn shall scrutinize the same and pass a suitable order in accordance with the rules governing the field of Kramonnati. It is expected that an appropriate order shall be passed within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of representation of the petitioners.

3. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands disposed of.”

4. Learned State counsel has no objection.
5. It is ordered accordingly. The ratio laid down in the case supra shall also govern the cause of lis in this case and will have the same effect and operation.
6. With such observation, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
Goutam Bhaduri
Judge