

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Appeal No. 289 of 2020**

*{Arising out of order dated 20.12.2019 passed by the learned Single Judge in
Writ Petition (T) No. 164 of 2019}*

- M/s N. R. ISPAT and Power Pvt. Ltd. Office at E-1 First Floor, Krishna Shopping Mall, Chaitanya Nagar, Raigarh C.G. 496001 through its Director Sanjay Agrawal, S/o Shri Nandkishore Agrawal, aged about 49 years, R/o 06, Friends Colony, Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)

---- Appellant**Versus**

1. The Assistant Commissioner of Income Tax, Central Circle-2, Central Revenue Building, Civil Lines, Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Principal Commissioner of Income Tax-1, Central Revenue Building, Civil Lines, Raipur (C.G.)
3. The Joint Commissioner of Income Tax, Central Revenue Building, Civil Lines, Raipur (C.G.)
4. Union of India, Ministry of Finance, North Block, New Delhi, Through its Secretary.

---- Respondents

For Appellant	:	Shri Anand Dadariya, Advocate.
For Respondents No. 1 to 3:		Shri Topilal Bareth, Advocate appears on behalf of Shri Amit Chaudhari, Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri P. R. Ramachandra Menon, Chief Justice
Hon'ble Shri Justice Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge
Judgment on Board

Per P. R. Ramachandra Menon, Chief Justice

03.12.2020

1. After filing the appeal, the Appellant has moved the I.A. No. 1 of 2020, application for withdrawal of the instant writ appeal praying for the following relief:

“It is therefore, prayed that this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to allow the instant application and

the appellant may kindly be permitted to withdraw the instant appeal with liberty to raise all the grounds raised in the instant writ appeal before the CIT(Appeal)-III, Bhopal, M.P. in the pending appeal preferred under Section 246A of the Income Tax Act, 1961, in the larger interest of justice.”

2. When the matter is taken up consideration, the learned counsel appearing for the Appellant submits that the Appellant may be permitted to withdraw the matter without prejudice to the rights and liberties of the Appellant to proceed with other appropriate remedy in accordance with law.
3. Permission is granted.
4. The writ appeal is dismissed as withdrawn.

Sd/-

(P. R. Ramachandra Menon)
Chief Justice

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge