

**NAFR****HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****Writ Petition (C) No. 700 of 2020**

Smt. Padma Bai Dhirhe Wd/o Shri Mandariya Dhirhe, Aged About 63 Years, R/o Village Nandeli, Block Sakti, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh

**---- Petitioner****Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Nawa Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Secretary, Department Of Social Welfare, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Nawa Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Director, Directorate Of Social Welfare, Atal Nagar, Indravati Bhawan, Nawa Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. Director, Directorate, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Atal Nagar, Indravati Bhawan, Nawa Raipur, Chhattisgarh
5. Collector, District Janjgir Champa, Chhattisgarh
6. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh
7. Sub Divisional Officer (R) Sakti, District Janjgir Champa, Chhattisgarh
8. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Sakti, District Janjgir Champa, Chhattisgarh
9. Secretary, Village Panchayat Nandeli, Block Sakti, District Janjgir Champa, Chhattisgarh

**---- Respondents**

---

|                |   |                                                              |
|----------------|---|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner | : | Mr. Jeet Patel, Advocate                                     |
| For State      | : | Mr. V. R. Tiwari, Addl. A.G. with Ms. Abhyunnati Singh, P.L. |

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**

**Order On Board**

**20.02.2020**

1. The grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is the abrupt stopping of widow pension which the petitioner was receiving for many years.
2. According to the petitioner, her husband died in the year 1993 and thereafter she was found eligible for pension under "Vidhwa Pension Yojna" which was being paid to her continuously when abruptly the same was stopped from 03.11.2018. Thereafter, the petitioner has repeatedly made representations and reminders to the authorities but till date no decision has been taken by the authority concerned.
3. Given the said submission, this Court is of the opinion that ends of justice would meet if the respondents 5 to 8 are directed to consider the representation of the petitioner and decide the same at the earliest preferably within a period of 45 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order. The petitioner would also be at liberty to approach the respondents 5 to 8 by making a fresh representation establishing her eligibility and claim.
4. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands disposed of.

**Sd/-  
P. Sam Koshy  
Judge**