

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (C) No. 657 of 2020**

Jai Mahamaya Machhua Sahkari Samiti Sakti, Post And Tahsil - Sakti, Civil And Revenue District - Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh. Through The President Raju Kenwat S/o Shri Sahniram Kenwat, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Ward No. 1, Nagar Palika Parishad Sakti, Police Station And Tahsil Sakti, Civil And Revenue District - Janjgir Champa, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Urban Administration And Development Department, Government Of Chhattisgarh, Mahanadi Bhawan Atal Nagar, Naya Raipur, Civil And Revenue District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Secretary, Department Of Fisheries, Government Of Chhattisgarh, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Naya Raipur, Civil And Revenue District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Collector, Janjgir, Civil And Revenue District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh
4. Assistant Director Fisheries, Janjgir, Civil And Revenue District Janjgir Champa, Chhattisgarh
5. Deputy Registrar, Cooperative Societies Janjgir, Civil And Revenue District Janjgir Champa, Chhattisgarh
6. Nagar Palika Parishad Sakti Through Chief Municipal Officer, Nagar Palika Parishad Sakti, Civil And Revenue District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh
7. Chhattisgarh Anusuchit Jati Macchua Sahakari Samiti Nandeli Sakti District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary Ramanand Tandon R/o Village Nandeli, Police Station And Tahsil Sakti,

Civil And Revenue District Janjgir Champa, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Ramesh Nayak, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Shubhum Verma, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order On Board

26.02.2020

1. The relief that the petitioner has sought in the present writ petition is for a direction to the respondent no.3 to consider and decide the appeal which the petitioner had preferred as early as on 20.11.2019. The appeal has been preferred against the proposal sent by the respondent no.6 to the respondent no.4 so far as the allotment of lease of ponds in the Panchayat to the respondent no.7 society is concerned.
2. Considering the limited relief that the petitioner has sought for and also taking into consideration the nature of dispute, this Court is of the opinion that ends of justice would meet if the respondent no.3 is directed to consider and decide the appeal preferred by the petitioner on merits in accordance with law governing the field at the earliest preferably within a period of 45 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.
3. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands disposed of.

**Sd/-
P. Sam Koshy
Judge**