

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**MCRC No. 1140 of 2020**

- Yuvraj Sinha S/o Ajit Kumar Sinha Aged About 29 Years R/o Village Borsi, Police Station Magarlod, District Dhamtari, Chhattisgarh.

---- Applicant**Versus**

- State Of Chhattisgarh, Through : Station House Officer, Police Of Police Station Magarlod, District Dhamtari, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondent

For Applicant	:	Mr. Pragalbha Sharma on behalf of Mr. Anil Gulati, Adv.
For Respondent/State	:	Mr. Dinesh Tiwari, Dy. G.A.

Hon'ble Smt. Justice Rajani Dubey**Order on Board****01/06/2020**

1. The accused/applicant has moved this first bail application under Section 439 of Criminal Procedure Code for releasing him on regular bail during trial in connection with Crime No. 19/2020 registered at Police Station Magarlod, District-Dhamtari (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Section 306 of the IPC.
2. The prosecution story in brief is that, on 07.12.2019 deceased Girjabai was not feeling well therefore, Girjabai was admitted in the hospital where she died. Police has lodged the merger intimation report thereafter, enquired to the father and mother of the deceased and it has been pointed out that the deceased was tortured by her husband and other family members. It is also alleged that deceased's husband has illicit relationship with the other lady due to this, deceased consumed poison and committed suicide. Based on this offence has been registered against the present applicant.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the crime in question. He further submits that the applicant is in jail since 19.01.2020, there is no likelihood of his case being decided in

near future, therefore, the present applicant may be released on bail.

4. On the other hand, counsel for the State opposes the bail application and submits that the allegation against the applicant is of serious in nature, therefore, no case is made out for grant of bail.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.
6. Taking into consideration the nature and gravity of the offence, facts and circumstances of the case, detention period of applicant and further considering the facts that the applicant is in jail since 19.01.2020, and the trial is likely to take some time for its final disposal, this Court is of the view that it is a fit case to release the applicant on bail. Accordingly, the application is allowed.
7. Accused/applicant is directed to be released on bail on his executing a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- with one local surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the trial Court. He is directed to appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court.
8. It is made clear that if the applicant has already been released on bail pursuant to the bail bond already furnished in view of the order passed by the High Power Committee constituted in compliance of the order of the Supreme Court of India dated 23.03.2020 in the matter of **In Re : Contagion of COVID 19 Virus in Prisons** (Suo Moto Writ Petition (c) No. 1/2020), he need not furnish bail bond afresh and the bail bond already furnished shall be deemed to be the bail bond furnished in compliance of the order of this Court, but if he has not furnished the bail bond earlier, then he will be required to furnish bail bond within four weeks from today.

**Sd/-
(Rajani Dubey)
Judge**