

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPC No. 668 of 2020**

Sachhidanand Chandrakar S/o Late Manharan Lal Chandrakar Aged About 66 Years R/o Old Basee, Ward No. 17, Mahasamund, Tahsil And District- Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh

---- **Petitioner**

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Nagriya Prashashan Development Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Municipal Council Mahasamund Through The Chief Municipal Officer, Municipal Council, Mahasamund District- Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Municipal Officer Municipal Council, Mahasamund, District- Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh
4. Sub- Divisional Officer (Revenue) Mahasamund, District- Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh

---- **Respondents**

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Rajbahadur Singh, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. V. R. Tiwari, Additional AG

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

18/02/2020

1. The challenge in the present writ petition is to Annexure P-1 dated 14.01.2020 whereby the respondent no.3 has asked the petitioner to produce the documents in respect of allotment of the portion of land over which he has constructed a shop failing which encroachment proceedings would be initiated.
2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in possession of the said piece of land for over a decade and he was running a shop there for quite sometime. Since the shop was in a dilapidated condition, he demolished the same and is erecting a new structure for operating a new

shop. He submits that the petitioner already had a permission in the past and that he has again moved an application for afresh permission.

3. Given the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and also taking note of the fact that Annexure P-1 is a notice whereby the petitioner has been asked to show that he is not an encroacher, this Court is of the opinion that ends of justice would meet if the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent no.3 to determine the fact as to whether the petitioner is in fact an encroacher or not. The petitioner in turn also is directed to approach the respondent no.3 by producing all relevant cogent documents to establish that he has been officially allotted the said land for construction of shop.
4. Let this exercise be completed within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order and the respondent authorities are directed not to act in pursuant to Annexure P-1 till a decision is taken by the respondent no.3.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Rohit