

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 729 of 2020**

- Khirsagar Sahu S/o Shivnand Sahu Aged About 33 Years Working As Assistant Teacher (Nagriy Nikay) At Government Primary School Stationpara Sakti, Block Sakti, Education District Sakti, District : Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Urban Administration And Development, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Joint Director Department Of Urban Administration And Development, Naya Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. District Education Officer Sakti, Education District Sakti, District Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh
4. Block Education Officer Sakti, District : Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh
5. Chief Municipal Officer Nagar Palika Parishad Sakti, District Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh
6. Chief Municipal Officer Nagar Palika Parishad Surajpur, District Surajpur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner : Shri Govind Dewangan, Advocate

For Respondents/ State : Ms. Binu Sharma, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri**Order On Board****05.02.2020**

1. The dispute raised in the present writ petition is the non-consideration of the case of the petitioner for grant of revised pay scale on

completion of 8 years of service. The denial to the petitioner was that the petitioner has not completed 8 years of service under the same employer.

2. The grievance of the petitioner was that the petitioner was initially appointed under the Urban department and subsequently applied for recruitment on the higher post under the Nagariy Nikay in the year 2012 and taking both the services, the petitioner has completed more than 8 years of service and therefore he is entitled for the benefit of higher pay scale.
3. The counsel for the petitioner submits that the issue involved in the present writ petition is squarely covered by the judgment of this Court in a bunch of writ petitions disposed off on 27.10.2018. The lead case of which being **WPS No. 6147/2018 (Shabnum Khatun v. State of Chhattisgarh & Ors.)**
4. This aspect is not disputed by the State counsel so far as the matter being similar to the one passed in the case of “**Shabnum Khatun**” (supra).
5. Given the facts and circumstances of the case the present writ petition also deserves to be and is accordingly disposed off in similar terms to the order passed in **WPS No. 6147/2018 (Shabnum Khatun v. State of Chhattisgarh & Ors.)**. The petitioner would be entitled for the benefit as prayed for subject to the verification of the case by the Department.

Sd/-

Goutam Bhaduri
Judge