

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WP(S) No. 754 of 2020

Ramsevak Paikra, S/o. Late Shri Natthu Singh Paikra, Aged About 50 Years,
Working As Assistant Teacher (LB), Govt. Primary School, Manadera, Block
Pamgarh, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Department Of School Education, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Chief Executive Officer, Jila Panchayat, Janjgir, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh.
4. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Pamgarh, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh.
5. District Education Officer, Janjgir, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh.
6. Block Education Officer, Pamgarh, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh.
7. Headmaster, Govt. Primary School, Manadera, Block Pamgarh, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner : Mr. K.S.Pawar, Advocate

For State/Respondents : Mr. Avinash Singh, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri

Order On Board

04.02.2020

Heard

1. By this writ petition the petitioner is claiming Kramonnati. According to the petitioner, he has already completed 10 years of service and is entitled for the benefit of Kramonnati.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has also filed representation before the respondent authorities, but the same is not being considered.

3. At this Juncture, learned counsel for the State would submit that if the petitioner submit fresh representation along with copy of the petition before the concerned competent authority, the same shall be considered and decided, in accordance with law.
4. In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of. If the petitioner files fresh representation before the concerned competent authority along with copy of the petition within a period of one month from today, the said authority is directed to consider and decide the same in accordance with law and on its own merits, as early as possible preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of representations along with copy of this order.
5. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the respondent authorities shall decide the representations, on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law, without treating any observation made in this order, as opinion on the merits of the case.

Sd/-
(Goutam Bhaduri)
Judge