

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (C) No. 296 of 2020**

1. Satendra Kumar Rajwade S/o Shri Sudama Pal Rajwade, Aged About 28 Years, R/o Ward No. 17, Junapara, Baikunthpur, District Korla, Chhattisgarh
2. Anwarul Haque S/o Late Habib Ahmed, Aged About 45 Years, R/o Ward No. 17, Junapara, Baikunthpur, District Korla
3. Tirath Rajwade S/o Shri Mohar Sai Rajwade, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Ward No. 17, Junapara, Baikunthpur, District Korla

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Special Secretary, Urban Administration And Development Department, New Mantralaya, Atal Nagar Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Commissioner, Municipal Council Baikunthpur, District Korla Chhattisgarh
3. Collector / District Election Officer, District Korla, Chhattisgarh
4. Sub Divisional Officer Baikunthpur, District Korla, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Mr. B. P. Singh, Advocate
For State	:	Ms. Sunita Jain, Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order On Board****23.01.2020**

1. The grievance raised by the petitioners in the present writ petition is the non-inclusion of the names of petitioners in the voter list of Gram

Panchyat, Kenapara, Lal Bahadur Shastri Ward No. 17.

2. At the outset, this Court is of the opinion that since the election process itself has already commenced and the electoral roll has already been published and notified, it would not be proper at this juncture for this Court to issue any writ for adding or deleting the name of any person in/from the voter list. From the record it appears that the petitioners have already made a representation to the authorities in the District for appropriate steps which till date has not been decided.
3. Given the said fact that the election process having already commenced, this Court at this juncture is reluctant to issue any writ to the respondents.
4. However, the concerned authorities i.e. Respondents 3 & 4 are directed to look into the grievance of the petitioners and ventilate the same in accordance with law at the earliest. The liberty of the petitioners for raising any other dispute before the appropriate forum would always remain open.
5. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands disposed of.
6. Certified copy today.

**Sd/-
P. Sam Koshy
Judge**