

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 530 of 2020

1. Brijbhooshan Prasad Verma S/o Shatruhan Prasad Verma Aged About 37 Years Working As Teacher (L.B.) At Government Middle School Kumhari, Block Baloda Bazar, Dsitric Baloda Bazar-Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh.
2. Paras Nath Sahu S/o Sudarshan Prasad Sahu Aged About 39 Years Working As Teacher (L.B.) At Government Middle School Kharve, Block Kasdol, Dsitric Baloda Bazar-Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh.
3. Hanuman Prasad Verma S/o Tekram Verma Aged About 38 Years Working As Teacher (L.B.) At Government Middle School Dotopur, Block Baloda Bazar, Dsitric Baloda Bazar-Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh.
4. Smt. Poornima Chaturvedi W/o Devendra Kumar Chaturvedi Aged About 36 Years Working As Teacher (L.B.) At Government Middle School Kumhari, Block Baloda Bazar, Dsitric Baloda Bazar-Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh.

--- Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh through the Secretary, Department of School Education, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur.
2. Secretary Department of Panchayat and Rural Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. Commissioner Cum Director Directorate of Panchayat, Atal Nagar New Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
4. Chief Executive Officer Zila Panchayat Baloda Bazar-Bhatapara, District Baloda Bazar-Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh.
5. District Education Officer District Baloda Bazar-Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh. ----

Respondents

For the Petitioners : Mr. Govind Dewangan, Advocate.

For the Respondents : Mrs. Richa Shukla, Dy. G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri

Order on Board

23 -01-2020

1. The limited grievance which the petitioners have raised in this writ petition is that by virtue of order passed in WPS No.2530 of 2017
(Mukesh Kumar Patel and another Vs. State of

Chhattisgarh and another) decided on 26.11.2017 the petitioners have been granted the benefit of revised pay scale on completion of 8 years of service.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the grievance which now remains is that the benefit is being provided to the petitioners prospectively and though the respondents have made a calculation which the petitioners were entitled from the date of their completion of 8 years but no effective orders have been passed nor has department taken any steps for release of the arrears of payment. The petitioners pray for appropriate direction to the respondents for releasing the said amount.
3. Without entering into the merits of the case and taking into consideration the judgment of this Court in the case of *Mukesh Patel (supra)* which has also been affirmed by the Division Bench and taking note of the fact that the respondents have complied with the order to the extent of granting revised pay scale to the petitioner, let respondents no.2 & 3 take an appropriate decision at the earliest so far as release of the arrears of payment which the petitioner is entitled for. Expecting a decision from the respondents within a period of 90 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order the present writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-
GOUTAM BHADURI
JUDGE