

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 2330 of 2016

1. Sambhu Nath Mishra, S/o Late Dwarika Prasad Mishra, Aged About 63 Years, R/o Raju Yadav Complex Near Pahuna Dukan Kedarpur Ambikapur P.S. And Tahsil Ambikapur Distt. Surguja Chhattisgarh, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary Department of Revenue Mahanadi Bhawan New Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Joint Director, Treasury Accounts And Pension Ambikapur, District : Surguja (Ambikapur), Chhattisgarh
3. The Collector Land Record Surguja Ambikapur, District : Surguja (Ambikapur), Chhattisgarh
4. Accountant General Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	None
For State	:	Mr. Rahul Jha, Govt. Advocate
For Resp. No. 4	:	Mr. Raj Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

01.12.2020

1. The present writ petition was filed claiming for the following reliefs:

“10.1 That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the respondent authority to finalize i.e., GPF amount, arrears of kramonati Vetanman, amount of time pay scale and arrears of revised pay scale from April 2006 within stipulated period.

10.2 That this Hon'ble court may kindly be pleased to direct the respondent authority to fix the final pension of the petitioner within reasonable period.”

2. The respondents subsequent to notice have entered appearance and filed reply and in their reply, they have categorically stated that GPF and other benefits to the petitioner could not be finalized immediately on his retirement for the reason that, there were two suspension during the service period of petitioner who was not regularized by the respondent and it was only at a later stage that the respondents have passed an order, so far as the suspension period is concerned. That thereafter the respondent authorities have in fact processed the other benefits and GPF has already been finalized on 28.06.2016 and gratuity has also already been released to the petitioner.
3. Given the said reply by the State authorities as also the Office of the Accountant General, the substantial grievance of the petitioner stands redressed.
4. The writ petition, accordingly stands disposed off in the light of the reply submitted by the respondents. However, in the event if there is still any further dues payable to the petitioner or which has been less paid to the petitioner, he would be at liberty to approach this Court or the respondents themselves by way of a suitable representation for ventilating his grievance.
5. With the aforesaid liberty, the present writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-
P. Sam Koshy
JUDGE